

ESURF 2015 49 Author response

Associate Editor Decision: Publish subject to minor revisions (review by Editor) (07 Nov 2016)
by Dr. John K. Hillier

Comments to the Author:

Dear Dr Brown,

Your revised manuscript has been reviewed by the same reviewer as the previous iteration, and by myself. I am pleased to accept it subject to minor revisions, the largest of which is that we both feel that the abstract could more clearly present the key results of the paper (nicely outlined in the discussion and conclusions). Please also consider the minor textual changes.

Thank you for taking the time to review this manuscript. We have found your comments and suggestions to be very constructive and are happy with the evolution of the manuscript from submission to this point. We have modified the abstract per Referee #3's comments and have also incorporated the minor changes suggested by yourself and Referee #3.

Minor comments

L47 - for reference include 'see' if a review, or 'e.g.' if an example of a paper that makes this point.

Thank you

L59 - Please check journal style on hyphens between numbers and their units (applies throughout)

Thank you, you are correct hyphens in this context are not preferred.

L86 - probably needs 'e.g.' before the list of references. Please also check for this throughout where a selection of references is used, but not a complete list of those that are key.

We have corrected this instance and several others as recommended.

L107/8 - Sentence seems awkward. 'had'? 'produced a' ?

This sentence has been reworded for clarity.

L130 - 'Annual' recurrence interval not equal to 1 yr. To a non-hydrologist this sounds strange. Please check phraseology. Also, recurrence of what exactly?

We have removed "annual" as recommended.

L181 - Sentence seems awkward. 'is the product' ?

We have considered this comment, but did not see the awkwardness of this sentence.

L189 - Errant hyphen before 'These'

Corrected – thank you.

L192 - Needs space after first Z ?

Corrected – thank you.

L233 - Please remove contractions i.e. 'it is' (apply in other places where appropriate).

Corrected – thank you.

L284 - Not sure what is recommended, but please check if s-1 notation is journal style.

We are not sure what your comment is referencing, but are happy to address it following more information.

L326 - Here, slope is in %, but not e.g. on L40. Consistency would be a benefit if possible.

Corrected for consistency– thank you.

L337 - Please consider removing the '-', perhaps a semi-colon and making a sentence for the second part after the dash.

This sentence has been rewritten.

L346 - contraction.

Corrected – thank you.

L484 - surely i.e. not e.g.

Corrected – thank you.

L516 - Consider $n/(n-k)$ as single multiplier to simplify equation.

Very good suggestion – corrected as recommended.

Referee #3: Dr Jens Turowski, turowski@gfz-potsdam.de

The revised version of the manuscript is much better organized and reads well. I am satisfied with the changes. The only part I do not find totally convincing is the abstract, which could be rewritten/expanded to include some of the key implications (nicely outlined in the discussion and conclusion). Otherwise, the paper can be published.

Thank you for your constructive review and comments. We gratefully appreciate your efforts in improving the quality of this manuscript. We have revised the abstract to include a few sentences related to key conclusions and abstracts.

Minor comments

28 minimum

Corrected – thank you.

62-63 the formulation here could be improved – it is unclear what ‘dominant’ means in this context and whether the authors expect changes in the pattern over a range of discharges

We have added text to clarify this point. However, we only mean to give an overview of the hypothesis in this section and more detailed text is presented in Section 2

189 remove hyphen at the end of the sentence.

Corrected – thank you.

191 The first question...

Corrected – thank you.

201 one ‘the’ too many

Corrected – thank you.

229 ...is associate?

We think that “associated” is sufficient in this context.

422/423 Here, the authors still use momentum rather than energy.

Corrected – thank you.

738 space missing – and a

Corrected – thank you.

1 **Bed and width oscillations form coherent patterns in a partially confined,**
2 **regulated gravel-cobble bedded river adjusting to anthropogenic disturbances**

3

4

5 Rocko A. Brown*^{1,2} and Gregory B. Pasternack¹

6

7 1-University of California, Davis, One Shields Avenue, Davis, CA, USA.

8 2-Environmental Science Associates, 2600 Capitol Avenue, Suite 200, Sacramento, CA

9 USA

10 * Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 510-333-5131; E-mail: rokbrown@ucdavis.edu.

11

12

13

14

15 **Abstract**

16 Understanding the spatial organization of river systems in light of natural and
17 anthropogenic change is extremely important, because it can provide information to
18 assess, manage and restore them to ameliorate worldwide freshwater fauna declines.
19 For gravel and cobble bedded alluvial rivers studies spanning analytical, empirical and
20 numerical domains suggest that at channel-forming flows there is a tendency for
21 covarying bankfull bed and width undulations amongst morphologic units such as pools
22 and riffles whereby relatively wide areas have relatively higher minimum bed elevations
23 and relatively narrow areas have relatively lower minimum bed elevations. The goal of
24 this study was to determine whether minimum bed elevation and flow-dependent
25 channel top width are organized in a partially confined, incising gravel-cobbled bed river
26 with multiple spatial scales of anthropogenic and natural landform heterogeneity across
27 a range of discharges. A key result is that the test river exhibited covarying oscillations
28 of minimum bed elevation and channel top width across all flows analyzed. These
29 covarying oscillations were found to be quasi-periodic at channel forming flows, scaling
30 with the length scales of bars, pools and riffles. Thus it appears that alluvial rivers
31 organize their topography to have quasi-periodic shallow and wide and narrow and
32 deep cross section geometry, even despite ongoing, centennial-scale incision.
33 Presumably these covarying oscillations are linked to hydrogeomorphic mechanisms
34 associated with alluvial river channel maintenance. The biggest conclusion from this
35 study is that alluvial rivers are defined more so by variability in topography and flow,
36 than mean conditions. Broader impacts of this study are that the methods provide a
37 framework for characterizing longitudinal and flow dependent variability in rivers for

38 | [assessing geomorphic structure and aquatic habitat in space, and if repeated, through](#)
39 | [time.](#)

40

41 | **1. Introduction**

42 | Understanding the spatial organization of river systems in light of natural and
43 | anthropogenic change is extremely important, because it can provide information to
44 | assess, manage and restore them to ameliorate worldwide freshwater fauna declines
45 | (Frissell et al., 1986; Richter et al., 1997). Alluvial rivers found in transitional upland-
46 | lowland environments with slopes < 0.02 and median diameter bed sediments ranging
47 | from 8 to 256 mm can exhibit scale dependent organization of their bed sediments
48 | (Milne, 1982), bed elevation profile (Madej, 2001), cross section geometry (Rayberg and
49 | Neave, 2008) and morphological units (Keller and Melhorn, 1978; Thomson et al.,
50 | 2001). For these rivers a plethora of studies spanning analytical, empirical and
51 | numerical domains suggest that at channel-forming flows there is a tendency for
52 | covarying bankfull bed and width undulations amongst morphologic units such as pools
53 | and riffles (Brown et al., 2016). That is, relatively wide areas have higher relative bed
54 | elevations and relatively narrow areas have lower relative bed elevations. While
55 | covarying bed and width undulations have been evaluated in field studies using cross
56 | section data (Richards, 1976a,b), in models of sediment transport and water flow
57 | (Repetto and Tubino, 2001), flume studies (Nelson et al., 2015) and in theoretical
58 | treatments (Huang et al., 2004), this idea has never been evaluated in a
59 | morphologically dynamic river corridor for which a meter-scale digital elevation model is
60 | available across a wide range of discharges, from a fraction of to orders of magnitude

61 more than bankfull. The goal of this study was to understand if and how bed elevation
62 and flow-dependent channel width are organized in a partially confined, incising,
63 regulated gravel-cobble bed river with multiple spatial scales of landform heterogeneity
64 across a range of discharges. The analysis of geometric organization was accomplished
65 through a suite of spatial series analyses using a 9km reach of the lower Yuba River
66 (LYR) in California, USA as a testbed. Our central hypothesis is that the test river reach
67 will have covarying and quasi-periodic bed and width oscillations. ~~and that d~~Due to the
68 test river corridor's variability (White et al., 2010), heterogeneity and antecedent flow
69 conditions, past history (James et al., 2009), and having a Mediterranean
70 climate (Wolman and Gerson, 1978) these patterns may be dominant in a range of
71 flows. Knowledge of spatial patterns are commonly used to infer the geomorphic
72 processes that yielded those patterns (Davis, 1909; Thornbury, 1954) and/or what
73 future processes will be driven by the current spatial structure of landforms (Leopold
74 and Maddock, 1953; Schumm, 1971; Brown and Pasternack, 2014). However, such
75 inferences rarely include transparent, objective spatial analysis of topographic structure,
76 so this study demonstrates a new methodology accessible to most practitioners to
77 substantiate the ideas behind the process-morphology linkages they envision to be
78 driven by variability in topography. The results of the study contribute to basic
79 knowledge by showing multiple layers of coherent structure between width and bed
80 undulations, which alerts geomorphologists to the need to prioritize future research on
81 the cause and consequences of structured channel variability as opposed to further
82 work on the central tendency of morphological metrics.

83

84 1.1 Background

85 A multitude of numerical, field, and theoretical studies have shown that gravel
86 bed rivers have covarying oscillations between bed elevation and channel width related
87 to riffle-pool maintenance processes. The joint periodicity in oscillating thalweg and
88 bankfull width series for pool-riffle sequences in gravel bed rivers was identified by
89 Richards (1976b) who noted that riffles have widths that are on average greater than
90 those of pools, and he attributed this to flow deflection over riffles into the channel
91 banks. Since then, many studies related to processes that rejuvenate or maintain the
92 relief between bars and pools (i.e., “maintenance” or “self-maintenance”) have implied a
93 specific spatial correlation of width and depth between the pool and riffle at the bankfull
94 or channel forming discharge (e.g. Wilkinson et al. 2004; MacWilliams et al., 2006;
95 Caamano et al., 2009; Thompson, 2010). For example, Caamano et al. (2009) derived a
96 criterion for the occurrence of a mean reversal in velocity (Keller, 1971) that implies a
97 specific correlation of the channel geometry of alluvial channels with undulating bed
98 profiles. Specifically, for a reversal in mean velocity at the bankfull or channel forming
99 discharge (holding substrate composition constant), the riffle must be wider than the
100 pool and the width variation should be greater than the depth variation between the riffle
101 and residual pool depth. Milan et al. (2001) evaluated several riffle-pool couplets, from
102 a base flow to just over the bankfull discharge. They found that convergence and
103 reversals in section-averaged velocity and shear stress were complex and non-uniform,
104 which suggests that different morphologic units may be maintained at different
105 discharges. Wilkinson et al. (2004) explicitly showed that phase shifts in shear stress
106 from the riffle to the pool between high and low discharge required positively covarying

107 bed and width undulations. White et al. (2010) showed how valley width oscillations
108 influence riffle persistence despite larger channel altering floods and interdecadal valley
109 incision. Sawyer et al (2010) used two-dimensional (2D) hydrodynamic modeling and
110 digital elevation model (DEM) differencing to illustrate how variations in wetted width
111 and bed elevation can modulate regions of peak velocity and channel change at a pool-
112 riffle-run sequence across a range of discharges from 0.15 to 7.6 times bankfull
113 discharge. DeAlmeida and Rodriguez (2012) used a 1D morphodynamic model to
114 explore the evolution of riffle-pool bedforms from an initially flat bed, while maintaining
115 the channel width variability. The resulting simulations ~~had~~were in close agreement to
116 the actual bed profile in their model. Thus, their study is another example that channel
117 width can exert controls on the structure of the bed profile. The flows at which the above
118 processes are modulated vary in the literature.

119 From a system perspective, bed and width undulations, both jointly and in
120 isolation, are a means of self-adjustment in alluvial channels that minimize the time rate
121 of potential energy expenditure per unit mass of water in accordance with the law of
122 least time rate of energy expenditure (Langbein and Leopold, 1962; Yang, 1971;
123 Cherkauer, 1973; Wohl et al., 1999). For bed profiles, Yang (1971) and Cherkauer
124 (1973) showed that undulating bed relief is a preferred configuration of alluvial channels
125 that minimize the time rate of potential energy expenditure. Using field, flume, and
126 numerical methods Wohl et al. (1999) showed that valley wall oscillations also act to
127 regulate flow energy analogous to bedforms. In analyzing reach scale energy
128 constraints on river behavior Huang et al. (2004) quantitatively showed that
129 wide/shallow sections and deep/narrow sections are two end member cross sectional

130 configurations necessary for efficiently expending excess energy for rivers, so these two
131 types of cross sections imply covarying bed and width undulations as a means of
132 expending excess energy. Therefore the above studies suggest that both bed and
133 width oscillations are a means to optimize channel geometry for the dissipation of
134 excess flow energy. The question now is the extent to which this well-developed theory
135 plays out in real rivers, especially now that meter-scale river DEMs are available.

136 Flows that drive channel maintenance in Western U.S. rivers, such as the test
137 river in this study (described in detail in Section 3 below), are thought to typically have
138 ~~annual~~ recurrence intervals ranging from 1.2 to 5 years (Williams, 1978; Andrews, 1980;
139 Nolan et al., 1987). Most of the literature investigating riffle-pool maintenance discussed
140 above report bedform sustaining flow reversals occurring at or near bankfull, often with
141 no specificity to the frequency of these events (Lisle, 1979; Wilkinson et al., 2004).
142 Studies that do report recurrence intervals have ranged from the 1.2 to 7.7 year
143 recurrence flows (Keller, 1971; Sawyer et al., 2010). However, many rivers exhibit
144 multiple scales of freely formed and forced landscape heterogeneity that should
145 influence fluvial geomorphology when the flow interacts with them, no matter the
146 magnitude (Church, 2006; Gangodagamage et al., 2007). For example, Strom and
147 Pasternack (2016) showed that the geomorphic setting can influence the stage at which
148 reversals in peak velocity occur. In their study an unconfined anastomizing reach
149 experienced velocity reversals at flows ranging from 1.5 to 2.5 year recurrence flows,
150 compared to 2.5 to 4.7 year recurrence flows for a valley-confined reach. Given that
151 river geometry can record memory from past floods (Yu and Wolman, 1987), and the
152 presence of multiple layers of topographic variability (Brown and Pasternack, 2014), it is

153 hypothesized that covarying bed and width undulations could also be present at
154 discharges other than bankfull.

155

156 1.2 Study Objectives

157 The primary objectives of this study were to determine if there are covarying bed
158 and width oscillations in the test reach, if they exhibit any periodicity, and how they vary
159 with discharge. Based on the literature review above, we hypothesize there will be
160 covarying bed and width oscillations that form quasi-periodic patterns, with the strongest
161 relationship occurring for a broad range of channel forming flows. A secondary objective
162 is to demonstrate how a geomorphic covariance structure (GCS) analysis of minimum
163 bed elevation and wetted width, as defined below, can be generated from high-
164 resolution topography and hydraulic models to assess flow-dependent spatial
165 organization of river corridor topography. The study site was a 6.4-km section of the
166 lower Yuba River (LYR), an incising and partially confined self-formed gravel-cobble
167 bedded river (Figure 1; described in Section 3). Several statistical tests were used on
168 the serial correlation of minimum bed elevation, Z , channel top width, W^j , and their
169 geomorphic covariance structure, $C(Z, W^j)$, where j indexes the flow discharge. The
170 novelty of this study is that it provides the first assessment of covarying bed and width
171 oscillations in a partially confined, self-maintained alluvial river across a wide array of
172 flows. The broader impact is that it provides a framework for analyzing the flow
173 dependent topographic variability of river corridors, without differentiating between
174 discrete landforms such as riffles and pools. Further, an understanding of the flow
175 dependent spatial structure of bed and width GCS would be useful in assessing their

176 utility in applied river corridor analysis and synthesis for river engineering, management
177 and restoration.

178

179 **2. Experimental Design**

180 To evaluate covarying bed and width undulations, the concepts and methods of
181 geomorphic covariance structures were used (Brown, 2014; Brown and Pasternack,
182 2014). A GCS is a bivariate spatial relationship amongst or between variables along a
183 pathway in a river corridor. It is not a single metric as in statistical covariance, but a
184 spatial series, and hence can capture spatially explicit geomorphic structure. Variables
185 assessed can be flow-independent measures of topography (e.g., bed elevation,
186 centerline curvature, and cross section asymmetry) and sediment size as well as flow-
187 dependent hydraulics (e.g., top width, depth, velocity, and shear stress; Brown, 2014),
188 topographic change, and biotic variables (e.g., biomass and habitat utilization).
189 Calculation of a GCS from paired spatial series is straightforward by the product
190 $x_{std,i} * y_{std,i}$, where the subscript *std* refers to standardized and possibly detrended
191 values of two variables *x* and *y* at location *i* along the centerline, creating the serial data
192 set $C(X, Y)$. Since this study is concerned with bed and flow dependent top width
193 undulations, the GCS at each flow *j* is denoted as $C(Z, W^j)$. More information on GCS
194 theory is provided in section 4.2 below. GCS series were generated for eight flows
195 ranging from 8.50 to 3,126 m³/s, spanning a broad range of flow frequency (Table 1).
196 The range of selected flows spans a low flow condition up to the flow of the last large
197 flood in the river. These flows were selected to provide enough resolution to glean flow-
198 dependent effects, while not producing redundant results.

199 | The first ~~study~~ question this study sought to answer was whether there was a
200 | tendency for covarying Z and W^j and how it changed with discharge. If Z and W^j
201 | covary then the sign of the residuals of both variables will both be positive or negative
202 | yielding a positive $C(Z, W^j) > 0$. Therefore, to determine if there are covarying bed and
203 | width oscillations a histogram was generated for each flow dependent series of
204 | $C(Z, W^j)$. The second question was whether each flow dependent series of $C(Z, W^j)$
205 | was random, constant, periodic or quasi-periodic. Quasi-periodicity in this setting is
206 | defined as a series with periodic and random components, as opposed to purely
207 | random or purely periodic (Richards, 1976a). Quasi-periodicity differs from periodic
208 | series in that ~~the~~ there are elements of randomness blended in (Newland, 1993). To
209 | answer this question autocorrelation function (ACF) and power spectral density (PSD)
210 | analyses of each $C(Z, W^j)$ series were used to determine if there were statistically
211 | significant quasi-periodic length scales (sensu Carling and Orr, 2002) at which $C(Z, W^j)$
212 | covary and how that changes with discharge.

213 | Based on the studies listed above (Section 1.1), we hypothesize that gravel-cobble
214 | bedded rivers capable of rejuvenating their riffle-pool relief should exhibit a topography
215 | (at any instant in time) with a tendency for quasi-periodic and covarying bed and width
216 | oscillations. The basis for covarying and quasi-periodic bed and width oscillations is
217 | founded on the idea that, on average, channel geometry is maintained during bankfull
218 | (e.g. geometric bankfull) discharge and that locally channels are shaped by riffle-pool
219 | maintenance mechanisms (Wilkinson et al. 2004; MacWilliams et al., 2006; Caamano et
220 | al., 2009; Thompson, 2010). Based on the literature reviewed in Section 1.1 we
221 | hypothesize that the $C(Z, W^j)$ GCS will, on average, become more positive with

222 increasing flow until approximately the bankfull discharge, where the channel overtops
223 its banks and non-alluvial floodplain features exert control on cross-sectional mean
224 hydraulics. At that point there may not be a tendency for positive or negative residuals,
225 if the topographic controls at that flood stage are not important enough to control
226 channel morphology. For example, smaller events might occur frequently enough to
227 erase the in-channel effects of the large infrequent events, especially in a temperate
228 climate (Wolman and Gerson, 1978). On the other hand, if a system is dominated by the
229 legacy of a massive historical flood and lacks the capability to recover under more
230 frequent floods, then the $C(Z, W^j)$ GCS will continue to increase until the discharge that
231 carved out the existent covarying bed and width oscillations for the current topography
232 is revealed. Note that we do not expect a clear threshold where organization in the
233 $C(Z, W^j)$ GCS is a maximum, but rather a range of flows near the bankfull discharge.

234 | ~~Given that t~~The effect of a particular flow on a channel is dependent not just on that
235 | flow, but the history of flow conditions that led to the channel's condition (Yu and
236 | Wolman, 1987). Therefore, it should not be expected that the observed patterns will be
237 | associated with a singular flow value. Also, this study looked at a river in a
238 | Mediterranean climate, and thus it may be more prone to exhibiting a wider range of
239 | positive $C(Z, W^j)$ GCS than a temperate or tropical river, as the number and frequency
240 | of recovery processes is reduced (Wolman and Gerson, 1978). With this logic, it ~~is's~~
241 | hypothesized that the $C(Z, W^j)$ GCS will be quasi-periodic for flows near the bankfull
242 | discharge, due to the presence of bar and pool topography, and that the ACF and PSD
243 | will yield length scales commensurate with the average spacing of these topographic
244 | features. For flows above the bankfull discharge, a river corridor has many local alluvial

245 landforms, bedrock outcrops and artificial structures on its floodplain and terraces.
246 These features influence bed adjustment during floods that engage them, and hence
247 impact the GCS. It is unknown how GCS length scales will change in response to the
248 topographic steering these features induce causing changes to bed elevation, but
249 investigating that is a novel and important aspect of this study. In addition to performing
250 these tests we also present two ~ 1.4 -km sections of the $C(Z, W^j)$ GCS, Z , W and the
251 detrended topography for three representative flows to discuss specific examples of
252 how these patterns change with landforms in the river corridor across a wide array of
253 discharges.

254 Limitations to this study (but not the GCS approach) for worldwide generalization
255 include not considering other variables relevant to how alluvial rivers adjust their shape,
256 such as grain size, channel curvature and vegetation, to name a few. Some of these
257 limitations were not study oversights, but reflected the reality that the study reach used
258 had relatively homogenous sediments (Jackson et al., 2013), low sinuosity, and limited
259 vegetation (Abu-Aly et al., 2014). This yielded an ideal setting to determine how much
260 order was present for just bed elevation and channel width, but does not disregard the
261 importance of these other controls, which can be addressed in future studies at suitable
262 sites. Also, this study is not a direct test of the response to or drivers of morphodynamic
263 change. The extent to which GCS can be used as an indicator of change to greatly
264 simply geomorphic analysis instead of doing morphodynamic modeling remains
265 unknown, but finding metrics that link landforms, the agent that shape them, and the
266 responses they induce has always been the goal of geomorphology (Davis, 1909).

267

268 **3. Study Area**

269 3.1 *River context*

270 The study area was the 6.4-km Timbuctoo Bend Reach of the lower Yuba River
271 (LYR) in northeastern California, USA. The reach begins at the outlet of a bedrock
272 canyon that is dammed ~ 3-km upstream, and the watershed above the dam drains
273 3480 km² of dry summer subtropical mountains. Little is known about the pre-European
274 Yuba River, but in this reach it is confined by valley hillsides and bedrock outcrops, and
275 these are evident in some photos from early European settlers panning the river for gold
276 in the late 1840s. During the mid to late 19th century there was a period of extensive
277 hydraulic gold mining of hillside alluvial deposits in the upper Yuba watershed that
278 delivered an overwhelming load of heterogeneous sediment to the lowland river valley
279 (James et al., 2009). Geomorphologist G. K. Gilbert photo documented the LYR around
280 the time of its worst condition in the early 20th century and provided foundational
281 thinking related to how the river would evolve in time (Gilbert, 1917). In 1941
282 Englebright Dam was built to hold back further sediment export from the mountains, and
283 that allowed the river valley to begin a process of natural recovery, which was reviewed
284 by Adler (1980) and more recently by Ghoshal et al. (2010). However, this process was
285 interfered with by widespread dredger mining in the early to mid 20th century. In two
286 locations of the study reach there are wide relict dredger tailings piles on the inside of
287 the two uppermost meander bends that the river has been gradually eroding.

288 The hydrology of the regulated LYR is complex and quite different from the usual
289 story of significantly curtailed flows below a large dam. Englebright Dam primarily
290 serves as a sediment barrier and it is kept nearly full. As a result, it is operated to

291 overtop when outflow is $> 127.4 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ long enough to fill its small remaining capacity, so
292 flood hydrology is still seasonal and driven by rainfall and snowmelt in the watershed.
293 Two of three sub catchments do not have large dams, so winter floods and spring
294 snowmelt commonly cause spill over Englebright sufficient to exceed the bankfull
295 channel in Timbuctoo Bend. The one regulated sub catchment does have a large dam,
296 New Bullards Bar (closed in 1970), and this reduces the frequency and duration of
297 floodplain inundation compared to the pre-dam record (Escobar-Arias and Pasternack,
298 2011; Cienciala and Pasternack, in press), but not like other rivers where the entire
299 upstream watershed is regulated. Sawyer et al. (2010) reported the 1.5 year recurrence
300 interval for the post Englebright, pre New Bullards Bar period as $328.5 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ and then for
301 post New Bullards Bar as $159.2 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$. California has long been known to exhibit a
302 roughly decadal return period for societally important major floods that change river
303 courses (Guinn, 1890), though the magnitude of those floods is not necessarily a 10-
304 year recurrence interval scientifically. Since major flow regulation in 1970, the three
305 largest peak annual daily floods came roughly 10 years apart, in the 1986, 1997, and
306 2006 water years. The flood of 1997 was the largest of the post-dam record. The 2006
307 peak flood event had a recorded peak 15-minute discharge of $3126.2 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ entering the
308 study reach.

309 Wyrick and Pasternack (2012) analyzed LYR inundation patterns in a high-
310 resolution DEM of the river produced after the 2006 wet season, and they considered
311 how channel and floodplain shapes change dramatically through the study reach. Their
312 findings apply to the Timbuctoo Bend Reach. Different locations exhibited spillage out of
313 the channel into low-lying peripheral swales and onto lateral and point bars at flows

314 from ~ 84.95-141.6 m³/s. When the water stage rises to 141.6 m³/s, relatively flat active
315 bar tops become inundated and the wetted extents line up with the base of willows
316 along steeper banks flanking the channel. These and other field indicators led to the
317 consideration of 141.6 m³/s as representative of the bankfull discharge adjusted to the
318 modern regulated flow regime since 1970. By a flow of 198.2 m³/s, banks are all
319 submerged and water is spilling out to various degrees onto the floodplain. The
320 floodplain is considered fully inundated when the discharge reaches 597.5 m³/s. Above
321 that flow stage exist some terraces, bedrock outcrops, and soil-mantled hillsides that
322 become inundated. For the two relict dredger tailings piles mentioned earlier, they
323 interact with the flows ranging from 597.5-1,195 m³/s. Apart from these piles, the flow
324 width interacts predominately with the valley walls for discharges at 1,195 m³/s and
325 above. Given the estimate of bankfull discharge for the LYR, the instantaneous peak
326 flow during the 2006 flood was ~ 23 times that, so quite substantial compared to those
327 commonly investigated in modern geomorphic studies.

328

329 3.2 *Timbuctoo Bend details*

330 A lot is known about the geomorphology of Timbuctoo Bend, and this information
331 helps inform this study to substantiate the possibility that the river's topography is
332 organized in response to differential topographic steering as a function of flow stage.

333 According to Wyrick and Pasternack (2012), the reach has a mean bed slope of

334 | 0.0022%, a thalweg length of 6337 m, a mean bankfull width of 84 m, a mean floodway

335 width of 134 m, an entrenchment ratio of 2.1 (defined per Rosgen, 1996), and a

336 weighted mean substrate size of 164 mm. Using the system of Rosgen (1996), it

337 classifies as a B3c stream, indicating moderate entrenchment and bed slope with
338 cobble channel material. A study of morphological units revealed that its base flow
339 channel area consists of 20% pool, 18% riffle, and then a mix of six other landform
340 types. More than half of the area of the riverbank ecotone inundated between base flow
341 and bankfull flow is composed of lateral bars, with the remaining area containing
342 roughly similar areas of point bars, medial bars, and swales (Wyrick and Pasternack,
343 2012). A study of bankfull channel substrates found that they are differentiated by
344 morphological unit type, but the median size of all units is in the cobble range (Jackson
345 et al., 2013),— even depositional bars, which that are often thought of as relatively fine in
346 other contexts. Vegetated cover of the river corridor ranged from 0.8 to 8.1% of the total
347 wetted area at each flow, with more inundated vegetation at higher flows.

348 White et al. (2010) used a sequence of historical aerial photos, wetted channel
349 polygons, repeat long profiles from 1999 and 2006, and a valley width series to
350 conclude that even though Timbuctoo Bend has incised significantly since 1942 in
351 response to many floods, there are several riffles and pools that persist in the same
352 wide and constricted valley locations, suggesting that valley width oscillations maintain
353 those positions and drive morphodynamic response. This suggests that it wouldn't may
354 not matter exactly which instant's topography one might analyze to look at the effect of
355 topographic variability in controlling or responding to large flood processes, as they all
356 should reflect the same topographic steering regime induced by the valley walls.

357 Two studies have been done to look at the hydraulic processes associated with
358 different flood stages in Timbuctoo Bend. Sawyer et al. (2010) found that one of the
359 pool-riffle-run units in this reach experienced flow convergence routing between

360 baseflow, bankfull flow, and a flow of roughly eight times bankfull discharge that
361 maintained riffle relief. Strom et al. (2016) assessed the hydraulics of the whole reach
362 over the same range of flows in this study, and they reported that the reach exhibits a
363 diversity of stage-dependent shifts in the locations and sizes of patches of peak velocity.
364 The spatial persistence of such patches decreased with discharge until flows exceeded
365 $\sim 1000 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$, at which point valley walls sustained their location for flows up to the peak
366 of $3,126 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$. Also, peak-velocity patches resided preferentially over chute and riffle
367 landforms at within-bank flows, several morphological unit types landforms for small
368 floods, and pools for floods $> 1000 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$. These studies corroborate the process
369 inferences made by White et al. (2010) in that hydraulics were found to be stage-
370 dependent in ways that were consistent with the mechanism of flow convergence
371 routing.

372 Finally, Carley et al. (2012), Wyrick and Pasternack (2015), and Pasternack and
373 Wyrick (in press) used DEM differencing, uncertainty analysis, scale-stratified sediment
374 budgeting, and topographic change classification to analyze how the LYR changed from
375 1999-2008, including Timbuctoo Bend. These studies took advantage of the repeated
376 mapping of the LYR in 1999 and 2006-2008, with Timbuctoo Bend mapped entirely in
377 2006. They found large amounts of erosion and deposition, strong differential rates of
378 change among different landforms at three spatial scales, and topographic changes
379 driven by 19 different geomorphic processes. For Timbuctoo Bend, the dominant
380 topographic change processes found were in-channel downcutting (including knickpoint
381 migration) and overbank (i.e., floodplain) scour, with noncohesive bank migration a
382 distant third. Thus, the river appears to change through adjustments to its bed elevation

383 far more than changes to its width in this reach. This finding will come into play in
384 interpreting the results of this study later on.

385 In summary, even with modern technology it is impossible to monitor the
386 hydrogeomorphic mechanics of fluvial change in a large river for flows up to 22 times
387 bankfull discharge, so recent studies have tried to get at the mechanisms during such
388 events with a range of strategies. Historical river analysis, hydrodynamic modeling, and
389 topographic change detection and analysis have been used together to reveal a picture
390 of a river that is changing in response to multiple scales of landform heterogeneity that
391 drive topographic steering. Even though the river has changed through time, there has
392 been a persistence of nested landforms, and thus it would be useful to understand how
393 topographic features are organized purely through an analysis of the DEM per the
394 methods developed in this study. This study exclusively uses the 2006 map made
395 during the dry season that followed the dramatic 2006 wet season, which included the
396 large flood, two other notable peaks, and a total of 18 days of floodplain filling flow.
397 Thus it addresses the topography as it existed after that river-altering wet season and
398 how it will in turn influence the dynamics of the next one.

399

400 **4. Methods**

401 The meter-scale topographic map of Timbuctoo Bend produced from
402 echosounder and robotic total station ground surveys were used for extraction of Z
403 (Carley et al., 2012; see Supplemental Materials), while a corresponding meter-scale
404 2D hydrodynamic model was used to generate data sets for W^j for each discharge.
405 Details about the 2D model are documented in the Supplemental Materials and

406 previous publications (Abu-Aly et al., 2013; Wyrick and Pasternack, 2014; Pasternack et
407 al., 2014); it was thoroughly validated for velocity vector and water surface elevation
408 metrics, yielding outcomes on par or better than other publications using 2D models.

409 4.1 Data Extraction

410 A first step was to extract Z and W^j spatial series from the digital elevation model
411 and 2D model outputs. This required having a sample pathway along which bed
412 elevation could be extracted from the DEM and top width from the wetted extents from
413 the 2D model. Sampling river widths was done using cross sections generated at even
414 intervals perpendicular to the sample pathway and then clipped to the 2D model derived
415 wetted extent for each flow. Because of this, the pathway selected can have a
416 significant bearing on whether or not sample sections represent downstream oriented
417 flow or overlap where pathway curvature is high. There are several options in
418 developing an appropriate pathway for sampling the river corridor. The thalweg is
419 commonly used in flow-independent geomorphic studies, but the thalweg is too tortuous
420 within the channel to adhere to a reasonable definition of top width. Further, as flow
421 increases, central flow pathway deviates from the deepest part of the channel due to
422 higher flow momentum and topographic steering from submerged and partially
423 submerged topography (Abu-Aly et al., 2014). Therefore, in this study we manually
424 developed flow-dependent sample pathways using 2D model hydraulic outputs of depth,
425 velocity and wetted area. The effect of having different sample pathways for each flow is
426 that it accounts for flow steering by topographic features in the river corridor.

427 For each flow a grid of kinetic flow energy ($d_i * v_i^2$) was generated in ARCGIS®,
428 where d_i is the depth and v_i is the velocity at node i in the 2D model hydraulics rasters.

429 Then a sample pathway was manually digitized using the momentum grid, following the
430 path of greatest ~~momentum~~kinetic energy. For flow splits around islands, if the
431 magnitude of energy in one channel was more than twice as great as the other it was
432 chosen as the main pathway. If they were approximately equal then the pathway was
433 centered between the split. Once a sample pathway was developed it was then
434 smoothed using a Bezier curve approach over a range of 100 m, or approximately a
435 bankfull channel width to help further minimize section overlaps. For each sample
436 pathway cross sections were generated at 5 m intervals and clipped to the wetted
437 extent of each flow, with any partially disconnected backwater or non downstream
438 oriented areas manually removed.

439 Despite smoothing there were areas of the river where the river has relatively
440 high curvature in the sample pathway causing sample section overlaps to occur. These
441 were manually edited by visually comparing the sample sections with the kinetic flow
442 energy grid and removing overlapped sections that did not follow the downstream flow
443 of water. This was more prevalent at the lower discharges than the higher ones due to
444 the effects topographic steering creating more variable sample pathways.

445 To provide a constant frame of spatial reference for comparison of results
446 between flows, while preserving flow-dependent widths, sections were mapped to the
447 lowest flow's sample pathway using the spatial join function in ARCGIS®. The lowest
448 flow was used, because that had the longest path. This insures no multiple-to-one
449 averaging of data would happen, as that would otherwise occur if data were mapped
450 from longer paths to shorter ones. To create evenly spaced spatial series the data was
451 linearly interpolated to match the original sampling frequency of 5 m. For Z the minimum

452 bed elevation along each section was sampled from the DEM using the same sections
453 for measuring width for the lowest flow sample pathway.

454

455 4.2 *Developing geomorphic covariance structures*

456 To generate GCS series for bed and flow-dependent width undulations the two
457 variables, Z and W^j were first detrended and standardized. Detrending is not always
458 needed for width in GCS analysis, but some analyses in this study did require it. A linear
459 model was used for Z , (Table 2) as is common in many studies that analyze reach scale
460 bed variations (Melton, 1962, Richards, 1976a; McKean et al., 2008). Similarly, each
461 W^j series was linearly detrended, but the trends were extremely small, with a consistent
462 slope of just 0.002 (Table 2). Finally, each series was standardized by the mean and
463 variance of the entire detrended series (Salas et al., 1980) to achieve second order
464 stationarity, which is a prerequisite for spectral analysis (described in the following
465 section). Second order stationarity of a series means that the mean and variance across
466 the domain of analysis are constant (Newland, 1983). Removal of the lowest frequency
467 of a signal, which can often be visually assessed, has little impact upon subsequent
468 spectral analyses (Richards, 1979). A linear trend was used over other options such as
469 a polynomial, because a linear trend preserves the most amount of information in the
470 bed series, while a polynomial can filter out potential oscillations. After detrended and
471 standardized series of Z and W^j were generated, then the GCS between them was
472 computed by taking the product of the two at each centerline station, yielding a spatially
473 explicit measure of how the two covary (Figure 2). The GCS is the whole series of
474 $C(Z, W^j)$ values and not a single metric such as the traditional statistical definition of

475 covariance. Interpretation of a GCS is based on the sign, which in turn is driven by the
476 signs of contributing terms. For $C(Z, W^j)$, if both Z and W^j are positive or negative then
477 $C(Z, W^j) > 0$, but if only one is negative then $C(Z, W^j) < 0$. For $C(Z, W^j)$ these
478 considerations yield four sub-reach scale landform end members that deviate from
479 normative conditions (Figure 3). Normal conditions in this context refer to areas where
480 both variables are close to the mean and thus $C(Z, W^j) \sim 0$. Note that the signs of Z and
481 W^j are not only important, but the magnitude is, too. Since $C(Z, W^j)$ is generated by
482 multiplication, if either Z or W^j is within the range of -1 to 1, then it serves to discount
483 the other. If Z or W^j is > 1 or < -1 it amplifies $C(Z, W^j)$. We did not assess the statistical
484 significance of coherent landform patterns, but one could do so following Brown and
485 Pasternack (2014).

486

487 4.3 Data Analysis

488 Before any statistical tests were performed we first visually assessed the data in
489 two approximately 1.4-km long sections to illustrate how $C(Z, W^j)$ is affected by flow
490 responses to landforms. For these two examples only three discharges were selected to
491 illustrate flow dependent changes in Z , W^j , and $C(Z, W^j)$ with fluvial landforms. The
492 lowest and highest flows, e.g.i.e. 8.50 and 3,126 m³/s, were selected to bracket the
493 range of flows investigated. The intermediate flow selected was 283.2 m³/s based on
494 the shifts in $C(Z, W^j)$ observed in the histogram, ACF and PSD tests as shown below in
495 the results. For these examples the exact magnitudes of $C(Z, W^j)$ are not as important
496 as the patterns and how they relate to visually discernible landforms.

497 A Mann-Whitney U-test was performed between each $C(Z, W^j)$ dataset to

498 determine if they were statistically different at the 95% level. Histograms were then
499 computed for each $C(Z, W^j)$ dataset to evaluate whether there was a tendency for the
500 data to be positively covarying and how that changes with discharge. Two histograms
501 were developed, one based on the quadrant classification of $C(Z, W^j)$ for each flow and
502 another showing the $C(Z, W^j)$ magnitude. This was done so that the distribution of both
503 the type of $C(Z, W^j)$ and magnitudes could be assessed. Additionally, the bivariate
504 Pearson's correlation coefficients (r) were computed between Z and W^j to assess their
505 potential interdependence. Bivariate Pearson's correlation coefficients were also
506 computed each series of W^j . Statistical significance was assessed for (r) using a white
507 noise null hypothesis at the 95% level.

508 Next, ACF and PSD analyses were used to determine if $C(Z, W^j)$ was quasi-
509 periodic or random, as it was visually evident that it was not constant or strictly periodic.
510 If a series is quasi-periodic this will be reflected in statistically significant periodicity in
511 the ACF (Newland, 1993; Carling and Orr, 2000). Because the PSD is derived from the
512 ACF the two tests show the same information, but in different domains, with the ACF in
513 the space domain and the PSD in the frequency domain. So while the ACF analysis
514 reveals periodicity in the signal (if present), the PSD analysis presents the associated
515 frequencies. Both are shown to visually reinforce the results of the PSD analysis. This is
516 helpful because spectral analysis can be very sensitive to the algorithm used and
517 associated parameters such as window type and size. Showing the ACF allows a visual
518 check of dominant length scales that may have quasi-periodicity (e.g. as in Carling and
519 Orr, 2000). The ACF analysis was performed for each flow dependent series of
520 $C(Z, W^j)$ and then these were compared among flows to characterize stage dependent

521 variability and to analyze how spatial structure changed with discharge. This test
522 essentially determines the distances over which $C(Z, W^j)$ are similar. An unbiased
523 estimate of autocorrelation for lags was used:

$$524 \quad R_k = \frac{\frac{1}{n-k} \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} (x_i - \bar{x})(x_{i+k} - \bar{x})}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \quad (1)$$

525 where x_i is a value of a GCS series at location i , \bar{x} is the mean value of the GCS (zero
526 due to standardization process) and the terms $\frac{1}{n-k}$ and $\frac{1}{n}$ account for sample bias (Cox,
527 1983; Shumway and Stoffer, 2006). Each R_k versus lag series was plotted against
528 discharge for a maximum of 640 lags (3.2 km, or approximately half the study length),
529 creating a surface that shows how ACF evolves with flow. Lag intervals are equal to
530 sample interval for the datasets (e.g. 5 m). Statistical significance was assessed relative
531 to both white and red noise autocorrelations. White noise is associated with random
532 processes that are uncorrelated in space, while red noise is associated with data that
533 has properties of 1st order autocorrelation (Newland, 1993). The benefit of this approach
534 is that (i) many fluvial geomorphic spatial series display autoregressive properties
535 (Melton, 1962; Rendell and Alexander, 1979; Knighton, 1983; Madej, 2001) and (ii) it
536 provides further context for interpreting results beyond assuming white noise properties.
537 The 95% confidence limits for white noise are given by $-\frac{1}{n} + / - \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}$ (Salas et al., 1980).
538 For red noise, a first order autoregressive (AR1) model was fit to the standardized
539 residuals for each spatial series of bed elevation and channel width. For comparison,
540 first order autoregressive (AR1) models were produced for 100 random spatial series
541 (each with the same number of points as the flow width spatial series) and averaged.
542 Each averaged AR1 flow width series was then multiplied against the AR1 bed elevation

543 series to create an AR1 model for each $C(Z, W^j)$. The red noise estimate was then
544 taken as the average of all AR1 models of $C(Z, W^j)$. The ACF plots were made so that
545 values not exceeding the white noise significance are not shown, along with a reference
546 contour for the AR1 estimate. Frequencies can be gleaned from the ACF analysis by
547 taking the inverse of the lag distance associated repeating peaks following Carling and
548 Orr (2002).

549 Power spectral density was estimated for each $C(Z, W^j)$ series using a modified
550 periodogram method (Carter et al., 1973). The periodogram is the Fourier transform of
551 the biased estimate of the autocorrelation sequence. The periodogram is defined as:

$$552 \quad P(f) = \frac{\Delta x}{N} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} h_n x_n e^{-i2\pi f n} \right|^2 \quad (2)$$

553 where $P(f)$ is the power spectral density of x , h_n is the window, Δx is the sample rate,
554 and N is the number of data data points (Trauth et al., 2006). While the raw
555 periodogram can exhibit spectral leakage, a window can reduce this effect. A hamming
556 window was used with a length equal to each data set. Since samples were taken every
557 5 m, this resulted in a sampling frequency of 0.2 cycles/m, and a Nyquist frequency, or
558 cutoff of 0.1 cycles/m. The number of data points used for the analysis was roughly half
559 the largest data set, resulting in a bandwidth of 0.00016 cycles/m. For PSD estimates a
560 modified Lomb-Scargle confidence limit for white noise at the 95% level was used as
561 recommended by Hernandez (1996). Since this study was concerned with changes in
562 PSD with flow, estimates were plotted relative to the standard deviation of all PSD
563 results for all series. This was done instead of using the standard deviation of each
564 series, because that inflates power within a series without context for the variance of
565 adjacent flows.

566

567 5. Results

568 5.1 Relating $C(Z, W^j)$ patterns to landforms

569 The first example is located at the lower end of the study area and transitions from a
570 valley meander to a straighter valley section with several valley corridor oscillations
571 (Figure 4). Starting upstream there is a large point bar on river left with a pool (i.e., $-Z$)
572 that transitions to a broad riffle with a 200 m long zone with $Z > 1$. Downstream the river
573 channel impinges on the valley walls creating two forced pools with localized negative
574 spikes in Z (Figure 4A,B). Downstream of this the low flow channel is steered to the left
575 of the valley, being bounded by two bars. In this zone Z values are positive and ~ 1 .
576 Past this there is an inset anabranch that transitions to a constricted pool with a broad
577 terrace on river left. In this lower zone Z fluctuates between 0 and -1.

578 Given that bed elevation is held fixed for this type of analysis, changes in W^j act to
579 modulate the sign and magnitude of the $C(Z, W^j)$ GCS with increasing flow. In
580 particular, when Z is near a value of 1, the relative flow W modulates the sign and
581 strength of the GCS signal, with several possible changes including persistence,
582 shifting, reversal, and emergence. For example, a persistent positive W oscillation
583 occurs near station 1500, where this zone is always relatively wide regardless of flow.
584 The anabranch zone however, shows the positive peak in W^j shift downstream from
585 station 900 to 600 from 8.5 to 283.2 m³/s. Two reversals in W^j occur from low to high
586 flow near stations 350 and 1100, which also create reversals in the GCS, but with
587 different signs. Near station 400 Z and W^j are negative at 8.5 and 283.2 m³/s creating
588 a positive GCS. However, W^j increases with flow discharge with an emergent positive

589 peak in W at 3,126 m³/s, that yields a negative GCS.

590 The other example area occurs at a transition from a valley bend to a straighter
591 section where the river transitions from a broad point bar on river left and eventually
592 crosses over between two smaller inset point bars (Figure 5A,B). Starting at the
593 upstream extent a large point bar is located on river left with two forced pools in the
594 channel at approximately 3500 and 3600 that have the strongest negative spikes in Z
595 (Figure 5C,D). Downstream where the point bar ends the bed profile increases with a
596 over a broad riffle with $Z > 1$ located above station 3000. As mentioned above in
597 Section 3, this pool-riffle-run sequence was studied in great detail by Sawyer et al.
598 (2010), who confirmed the occurrence of naturally rejuvenating riffle-pool topography.
599 Immediately below the broad riffle is a localized zone where $Z < 1$ adjacent to a small
600 bedrock outcrop. Within the alternate bars the bed profile is between 0 and 1 for ~ 300
601 m, followed by a localized negative peak in Z around station 2300.

602 For the first 200 m W^j is < 0 for all three flows, but gradually increases downstream
603 with increasing flow (Figure 5C). Since the two deep pools in this initial zone have
604 $Z < 1$, the GCS is > 1 for all flows but reaches a maximum magnitude of 6 at 283.2 m³/s.
605 Beyond this area W^j increases for all flows, but the relative peak broadens and shifts
606 downstream with increasing discharge. At 8.5 m³/s the peak is centered near station ~
607 3000 where it appears a backwater increases flow widths upstream of station 2900. For
608 283.2 m³/s the peak shifts downstream ~ 150 m as the anabranch becomes activated
609 and begins to spread water out. At 3126 m³/s the peak is shifted another ~ 300 m
610 downstream as the bounding point bars are inundated. These shifts in relative W^j act
611 with the bed profile to create a sharper positive peak in $C(Z, W^j)$ near the riffle at low

612 flows, but then this peak dampens and shifts downstream with increasing flow. This is a
613 similar phase shifting reported for a mixed alluvial-bedrock riffle-pool unit reported by
614 Brown and Pasternack (2014), associated with a corresponding phasing of peak
615 velocity from the riffle to the pool with increased flow. Given that the lower ~ 500 m of
616 this example area have $Z \sim 0$ the $C(Z, W^j)$, GCS is also ~ 0 .

617 Overall both examples show that zones where Z was either > 1 or < -1 were
618 associated with large pools and riffles in the study area, and were characterized by
619 strong peaks (e.g. >1) in $C(Z, W^j)$. Patterns of W^j can work with Z to create a variety of
620 flow dependent response including emergence, reversals, amplification and shifting. An
621 interesting result is that most of the locations where $Z < 1$ were short in length, whereas
622 areas where $Z > 1$ tended to be broader in length.

623

624 *5.2 Is there a tendency for positively covarying bed and width oscillations?*

625 The histogram of $C(Z, W^j)$ showed that regardless of discharge, there was a
626 tendency for positive values (e.g. where both Z and W^j covary), and that this changed
627 with stage (Figure 6A). At least 55% of the data always had $C(Z, W^j) > 0$, increasing to
628 68% at 283.2 m³/s, and then slightly declining beyond this flow and stabilizing around
629 60% (Figure 6). There were at most 5% of values < -1 , with an average and standard
630 deviation of 3% and 2%, respectively. Contrasting this, values > 1 peaked at 35% at
631 141.6 m³/s and declined with increasing discharge. So out of the two extremes, the data
632 exhibited a tendency for positive values, with negative values < -1 being very rare.

633 The Mann Whitney U-test showed interesting flow dependent aspects of the
634 $C(Z, W^j)$ data sets, where some ranges of flows were significantly different from each

635 other, and others being similar (Table 3). For example, the 8.50 m³/s $C(Z, W^j)$ had p
636 values that were all significant at the 95% level for each other flow, indicating
637 differences in their distributions. For flows between 28.32-597.5 m³/s, the p values
638 indicated that the series were statistically similar, but not for higher flows. The p values
639 for 1,195, 2,390, and 3,126 m³/s were statistically similar at the 95% level, but not for
640 lower flows.

641 The quadrant-based histogram reveals further insight into the distribution of river
642 geometry with flow (Figure 6B). The average percentage of $C(Z, W^j)$ for each quadrant
643 across all flows was 30% $\{+W, +Z\}$, 14% $\{+W, -Z\}$, 25% $\{-W, +Z\}$, and 31%
644 $\{-W, -Z\}$, with standard deviations ranging from 2-3%. Percentages of positive
645 $C(Z, W^j)$ were relatively evenly distributed between $\{+W, +Z\}$ and $\{-W, -Z\}$, although
646 the latter was slightly more prevalent. The percent of the data in the $\{+W, +Z\}$ quadrant
647 increased from 26% at 8.50 m³/s, peaked at 34% at 597.5 m³/s, decreased to 30% at
648 1195 m³/s and stabilized near this value for higher flows. Meanwhile, the percent of the
649 data in the $\{-W, -Z\}$ quadrant increased from 29% at 8.50 m³/s and peaked at 35% at
650 141.6 - 283.2 m³/s flow, and then decreased to 30% at 597.5 m³/s. After that it
651 increased to 33% and stabilized at and beyond 1,195 m³/s. Both the $\{+W, -Z\}$ and
652 $\{-W, +Z\}$ quadrants followed a similar but opposite trend, reaching a minimum at 283.2
653 m³/s.

654 Further insights into the positive nature of $C(Z, W^j)$ can be inferred from bivariate
655 Pearson's correlation coefficients of Z and W^j (Figure 7). Similar to $C(Z, W^j)$ the flow
656 dependent response was that the correlation between Z and W^j increased with flow
657 until 283.2 m³/s and then subsequently declined. To further reinforce these results one

658 can also inspect the plot of Z, W^j and $C(Z, W^j)$ for $283.2 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$, visually showing the
659 synchronous nature of Z and W^j (Figure 2) The correlations between combinations of
660 W^j show that each series is significantly correlated to the next highest flow, but there is
661 an interesting flow dependent pattern (Figure 8). Correlations between series decrease
662 with increasing flow, reaching a minimum between 597.5 and $1195 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$, and then
663 increasing again.

664

665 5.3 Are bed and width oscillations quasi-periodic?

666 The ACF of $C(Z, W^j)$ also showed similar changes with discharge as the above
667 analyses with increases in the presence and magnitude of autocorrelation from 8.50 to
668 $597.5 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ and then subsequent decline with increasing flow (Figure 9A). At the lowest
669 discharge there are approximately two broad bands of positive autocorrelation that
670 exceeded both the white noise and AR1 threshold at lag distances of 1400 and 2100 m .
671 At $28.32 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ these three peaks broaden and the highest correlation was found at lag
672 distance 1400 m , which increased from ~ 0.4 to 0.7 . At the bankfull discharge of 141.6
673 m^3/s the peak at 1400m diminishes, while the peak near 2100 m increased in strength
674 (e.g. correlation magnitude). At $283.2 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ there are still peaks near 1400 and 2100
675 m that exceed both white noise and the AR1 threshold, but two other significant peaks
676 emerge near 700 and 2800 m . Similar statistically significant correlations are found at
677 $596.5 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$, albeit narrower bands of correlation. The correlation distances at 283.2 and
678 $596.5 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ average $\sim 700 \text{ m}$, and this would have a frequency of approximately 0.0014
679 cycles/m . Beyond $596.5 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ the ACF diminishes rapidly with no peaks that are
680 statistically significant compared to red noise. Overall, the ACF results show that

681 $C(Z, W^j)$ is quasi-periodic from 8.50 m³/s to 141.6-597.5 m³/s, but then the periodicity
682 decreases in strength as flow increased.

683 Similar to ACF analysis, PSD analysis showed quasi-periodic components of
684 $C(Z, W^j)$ exhibiting flow dependent behavior (Figure 9B). For 8.50-283.2 m³/s there is a
685 high power band (e.g. PSD/ σ ~12-16) centered on 0.0014 cycles/m, which is confirmed
686 from the ACF analysis above. For 8.50 -141.6 m³/s there are also smaller magnitude
687 peaks ranging from 3-8, spread out over several frequencies. There's also a high
688 magnitude component at the lowest frequency band that emerges at 28.32 and declines
689 by 283.2 m³/s. These low frequency components are commonly associated with first
690 order auto-regressive behavior in the data (Shumway and Stoffer, 2010). At 597.5 m³/s
691 power is still associated on 0.0014 cycles/m, albeit with a ~50% reduction in magnitude.
692 Beyond this flow the frequency range and magnitude of statistically significant values
693 declines with discharge. Overall, both ACF and PSD results show that $C(Z, W^j)$ is
694 quasi-periodic from 8.50 m³/s to 283.2 m³/s but then decreased in strength as flow
695 increased. Further, the PSD results show that the $C(Z, W^j)$ GCS is flow dependent and
696 multiscalar, being characterized by a range of statistically significant frequencies.
697

698 **6. Discussion**

699 *6.1 Coherent undulations in cobble-gravel bed river topography*

700 The primary result of this study is that in an incising, partly confined, regulated
701 cobble-gravel river whose flow regime is dynamic enough to afford it the capability to
702 rejuvenate its landforms, there was a tendency for positive $C(Z, W^j)$ and thus covarying
703 Z and W^j amongst all flows analyzed. Based on the ACF and PSD analyses the

704 $C(Z, W^j)$ GCS undulations are quasi-periodic. The results of this study associated
705 channel organization across a range of recurrence intervals frequencies within the
706 range of commonly reported channel forming discharges for Western U.S. rivers (e.g.,
707 1.2-2.5 years) as well as substantially larger flows. These conclusions are obviously
708 limited to the study reach, but this should not prohibit discussing possible mechanisms
709 that could lead to these observed patterns, as well as the role of variable flows and
710 incision.

711 Most notably, the test river exhibited a dominance of covarying values of Z and
712 W^j across all flows, being characterized by an quasi-periodic pattern of wide and
713 shallow or narrow and deep cross sections. This supports the idea that alluvial river
714 reaches have a tendency for adapting wide and shallow and narrow and deep cross
715 sections to convey water flow (Huang et al., 2004). Rather than select a single type of
716 cross section to maximize energy dissipation to create a uniform cross section geometry
717 at a single channel maintaining flow, commonly referred to as bankfull, it appears that
718 alluvial rivers adjust their channel topography to have cross sections that roughly
719 alternate between those that are wide and shallow and narrow and deep (Figure 6B;
720 Huang et al., 2004), with some locations having a prismatic channel form indicative of
721 normative conditions, particularly in transition zones. Whether this is attributed to
722 minimizing the time rate of potential energy expenditure per unit mass within a reach
723 (Langbein and Leopold, 1962; Yang, 1971; Cherkauer, 1973; Wohl et al., 1999) or
724 channel unit scale mechanisms associated with riffle-pool maintenance (Wilkinson et al.
725 2004; MacWilliams et al., 2006; Caamano et al., 2009; Thompson, 2010;) remains to be
726 determined. Given that extremal hypotheses and riffle-pool maintenance act at different,

727 yet interdependent scales, it is likely that both play an intertwined and inseparable role
728 in channel form. That said, extremal theories are limited to predicting mean channel
729 conditions within a reach (Huang et al., 2014), with no models that can yet fully predict
730 sub-reach scale alluvial river topography, so we turn our attention to more tractable
731 hydrogeomorphic processes related to the maintenance of riffle and pool topography.

732 Presumably, the quasi-oscillatory $C(Z, W^j)$ GCS pattern is also linked to flow
733 dependent patterns of convective acceleration and deceleration zones (Marquis and
734 Roy, 2011; MacVicar and Rennie, 2012), as the length scales of the GCS were aligned
735 with the spacing of erosional and depositional landforms such as bars and pools. This
736 aspect is supported by ACF and PSD results as well as other two studies on the test
737 reach. First, it appears that the quasi-periodicity of the $C(Z, W^j)$ GCS is related to the
738 pool-riffle oscillation in the river corridor. The PSD analysis showed that the dominant
739 frequency of $C(Z, W^j)$ was ~ 0.0014 cycles/m, which equates to a length scale of ~ 700
740 m (Figure 9). Three of the morphologic units (MUs) studied by Wyrick and Pasternack
741 (2014) can be used for context including pools, riffles, and point bars. In their results for
742 the Timbuctoo Bend Reach, pools, riffles, and point bars had an average frequency of
743 0.0029, 0.0028, and 0.001 cycles/m. Considering that pools and riffles are defined as
744 two end-members of positive $C(Z, W^j)$, then the frequency of riffles and pools should be
745 twice that of the $C(Z, W^j)$ GCS as found herein. That is, a single oscillation of $C(Z, W^j)$
746 GCS would include both a narrow and deep (e.g. pool) and a wide and shallow (e.g.
747 riffle) cross section geometry, although transitional forms are possible within a cycle, too
748 (Figure 3). Therefore, it appears that the quasi-periodicity of the $C(Z, W^j)$ GCS is related
749 to the pool-riffle oscillation in the river corridor. This is in agreement with studies based

750 on field investigations and numerical models that relate this observation to quasi-
751 periodic bed and width variations associated with bar-pool topography (Richards,
752 1976b; Repetto and Tubino, 2001; Carling and Orr, 2002).

753 Second, Sawyer et al. (2010) showed that stage dependent flow convergence
754 maintained bed relief by topographically mediated changes in peak velocity and shear
755 stress at the central riffle in second example (Figure 5). Interestingly, the flow width
756 series phases relative to bed elevations in accordance with theory (Wilkinson et al.,
757 2004) and field and numerical studies (Brown and Pasternack, 2014). This supports an
758 already reported relationship between the $C(Z, W^j)$ GCS and the process of flow
759 convergence routing (Brown and Pasternack, 2014 Brown et al., 2016).

760 Lastly, Strom and Pasternack (2016) showed that peak zones of velocity undergo
761 variable changes in their location with discharge, with most velocity reversals occurring
762 after $597.5 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$. In this case the zones of peak velocity patches underwent complex
763 changes from being associated with narrow topographic high points at base flows
764 $(-W^j, +Z)$ to topographic low points where flow width is constricted at high flows
765 $(-W^j, -Z)$. Overall, the presence of oscillating wide and shallow and narrow and deep
766 cross sections appears to be linked to hydrogeomorphic processes of riffle-pool
767 maintenance.

768

769 6.2 Hierarchical nesting, variable flows and the role of incision

770 This study quantitatively supports the idea that river morphology in partially confined
771 valleys is hierarchically nested with broader exogenic constraints such as the bedrock
772 valley walls, as well as channel width scale alluvial controls such as point bars and

773 islands. Our study quantitatively characterized interesting shifts in the amount of
774 correlation amongst flow width series and in the presence of quasi-periodic oscillations
775 in $C(Z, W^j)$ with changes in flow. Each series of W^j were significantly correlated with
776 the next highest flow, but this was lowest between 597.5 and 1195 m³/s, where the
777 valley walls begin to be engaged (Figure 7). Further, both the ACF and PSD show that
778 quasi-periodicity in $C(Z, W^j)$ declines after 597.5 m³/s (Figure 9). In addition, Strom and
779 Pasternack (2016) showed that reversals in peak velocity occur when flows exceed
780 597.5 m³/s. While results show that statistically significant correlations between Z and
781 W^j occur for a range of flows, the greatest magnitude is not when the valley walls are
782 inundated, but for the 283.2 m³/s channel and incipient floodplain. Given that
783 correlations were still significant for the flows that inundate the valley walls, this does
784 not refute the role of valley width oscillations in potentially controlling riffle persistence
785 (White et al., 2010), but rather adds new insight to the morphodynamics of rivers
786 incising in partially confined valleys. This suggests that the incision process may be
787 decoupling the organization of the riverbed away from being controlled by the valley
788 walls and instead phased towards reshaping channel topography within the inset bars
789 that are nested within the valley walls. As the riverbed incises further down through
790 knickpoint migration (Carley et al., 2012) this may act to shift zones of high and low
791 wetted width upstream unless lateral erosion can keep pace.

792

793 6.3 *Broader Implications*

794 This study quantified relationships between flow width and minimum bed elevation in
795 a partly confined and incising gravel-cobble bedded river, as well as for the first time

796 how they change with stage. While study results are currently limited to rivers similar to
797 the study reach, there are several key results of this study that may have broader
798 relevance to river restoration and management.

799 First, a key result of this study was that channel geometry was organized into
800 covarying Z and W^j undulations across all flows analyzed, alternating between wide and
801 shallow and narrow and deep cross sections. This is a very different view from the
802 classical definition of singular and modal bankfull channel geometry often used to guide
803 river and stream restoration (Shields et al., 2003). Instead, our study found that channel
804 geometry at all flows had a relatively even mixture of wide and shallow and narrow and
805 deep cross sections. Studies that deconstruct the complexity of river channel geometry
806 to modal ranges of channel width and depth have always shown scatter, which has
807 mostly been attributed to measurement uncertainty and/or local conditions (Park, 1977;
808 Philips and Harman, 1984; Harman et al., 2008; Surian et al., 2009). Our study
809 suggests that this variability is a fundamental component of alluvial river geometry.
810 While this concept was proposed by Hey and Thorne (1983) over two decades ago, few
811 studies have integrated these ideas into river engineering and design (e.g. see Simon et
812 al., 2007). Thus, this study further supports a needed shift away from designing rivers
813 with modal conditions to designing rivers with quasi-oscillatory and structured variations
814 in channel topography. An example of this is the form-process synthesis of channel
815 topography that experience flow reversals using GCS theory (Brown et al., 2016)

816 Second, this study has implications to restoration design and flow reregulation in that
817 a wide array of discharges beyond a single channel forming flow are presumably
818 needed for alluvial channel maintenance (Parker et al., 2003). Commonly singular

819 values of channel forming discharge, usually either bankfull or effective discharge, are
820 used in stream and river restoration designs (Shields et al., 2007; Doyle et al., 2007).
821 This study refutes this concept for rivers such as studied herein, as supported by the
822 results that show gradual changes in channel organization within a band of discharges
823 with recurrence intervals ranging from 1.2-5 years, and four fold range in absolute
824 discharges. Instead, stream and river restoration practitioners should analyze ranges of
825 flow discharges and the potential topographic features (existing or designed) that could
826 invoke stage-dependent hydrodynamic and geomorphic processes associated with
827 complex, self maintaining natural rivers.

828 Third, while the length scales of covarying Z and W^j undulations are approximate to
829 the spacing of bars and pools in the study area, they are quite complex and lack explicit
830 cutoffs that illustrate power in a singular frequency band. Thus, river restoration efforts
831 that specify modal values of bedforms may overly simplify the physical structure of
832 rivers with unknown consequences to ecological communities and key functions that are
833 the focus of such efforts. River restoration designs need to mimic the multiscale nature
834 of self-formed topography by incorporating GCS into river engineering (Brown et al.,
835 2014) or somehow insure that simpler uniscale designs will actually evolve into
836 multiscale ones given available flows and anthropogenic boundary constraints.

837 Fourth, this study has potential implications for analyzing the effect of flow
838 dependent responses to topography and physical habitat in river corridors. Valley and
839 channel widths have shown to be very predictive in predicting the intrinsic potential of
840 salmon habitat (Burnett et al., 2007). Further, the role of covarying bed and width
841 undulations in modulating velocity signals and topographic change has implications to

842 the maintenance of geomorphic domains used by aquatic organisms. As one example,
843 consider that adult salmonids use positively covarying zones such as riffles (e.g.
844 $+W^j, +Z$) for spawning and pools (e.g. $-W^j, -Z$) for holding (Bjorn and Reiser, 1991). In
845 the study reach Pasternack et al. (2014) showed that 77% of spawning occurred in
846 riffles and chute morphologic units, which are at or adjacent to areas where $C(Z, W^j) > 1$
847 (Figure 4, Figure 5), supporting this idea. The presence and structure of covarying bed
848 and width undulations is also thought to be important indirectly for juvenile salmonids
849 that require shallow and low velocity zones for refugia during large floods. For example,
850 the expansions that occur at the head of riffles would presumably provide lateral zones
851 of shallow depths and moderate velocities needed for flood refugia. In the absence of
852 positive bed relief, and zones of $+W, +Z$, flow refugia zones would be hydrologically
853 disconnected from overbank areas, impacting the ability of juvenile salmon to utilize
854 these areas as refugia during floods and potentially leading to population level declines
855 (Nickelson et al., 1992). Future work should better constrain the utility of GCS concepts
856 in assessing aquatic habitat.

857 Lastly, it is possible that the $C(Z, W^j)$ GCS could be used as a comparative proxy in
858 remote sensing applications to determine how the topographic structure of rivers
859 change with flow, and how that may also change through time. The zoomed examples
860 of $C(Z, W^j)$ and the detrended river topography highlight how this type of GCS can be
861 used to characterize the topographic influence on wetted width and bed elevation
862 variability in river corridors. The $C(Z, W^j)$ GCS may be used diagnostically to assess
863 riverine structure and hydraulic function in a continuous manner within a river across an
864 array of flows. While not studied herein, prior work (Brown and Pasternack, 2014)

865 showed that the magnitude of $C(Z, W^j)$ can also be related to flow velocity, though
866 lagged effects do occur. Since the magnitudes can be linked to both unique landforms
867 and flow velocity they may have utility in assessing topographic and hydraulic controls
868 in river corridors.

869 LiDAR and analytical methods for developing bed topography in rivers has improved
870 considerably (McKean et al, 2009). For example, Gessese et al. (2011) derived an
871 analytical expression for determining bed topography from water surface elevations,
872 which can be obtained from LiDAR (Magirl et al, 2005). Assuming one has an adequate
873 topographic data set, whether numerical flow modeling is needed to generate wetted
874 width data sets places a considerable constraint on performing this type of analysis.
875 This could potentially be relaxed, especially at flows above bankfull, using a constant
876 water slope approximation for various flow stages. At smaller discharges in rivers there
877 are typically defects in the water surface elevation, where the bed topography exerts a
878 strong control on bed elevations (e.g. Brown and Pasternack, 2008). However, many
879 studies suggest that on large alluvial rivers bankfull and flood profiles show that they
880 generally flatten and smoothen once bed forms and large roughness elements such as
881 gravel bars are effectively submerged. In this case, one can then detrend the river
882 corridor and take serial width measurements associated at various heights above the
883 riverbed (Gangodagamage et al., 2007). The height above the river then can then be
884 related to estimates of flow discharge and frequency, so that the change GCS structure
885 can be related to watershed hydrology (Jones, 2006). There's also the obvious option of
886 using paired aerial photography with known river flows by correlating discharge with
887 imagery dates and widths. Future work should constrain whether similar conclusions

888 can be reached using field and model derived estimates of wetted width as opposed to
889 modeled solutions.

890

891 **7. Conclusions**

892 A key conclusion is that the test river exhibited covarying oscillations of minimum bed
893 elevation and channel top width across all flows analyzed. These covarying oscillations
894 were found to be quasi-periodic at channel forming flows, scaling with the length scales
895 of pools and riffles. Thus it appears that alluvial rivers organize their topography to
896 have oscillating shallow and wide and narrow and deep cross section geometry, even
897 despite ongoing incision. Presumably these covarying oscillations are linked to
898 hydrogeomorphic mechanisms associated with alluvial river channel maintenance. As
899 an analytical tool, the GCS concepts in here treat the topography of river corridors as
900 system, which is thought of as an essential view in linking physical and ecological
901 processes in river corridors at multiple scales (Fausch et al., 2002; Carbonneau et al.,
902 2012). While much research is needed to validate the utility of these ideas to these
903 broader concepts and applications in ecology and geomorphology, the idea of GCS's,
904 especially for width and bed elevation, holds promise.

905

906 **8. Data Availability**

907 Each $C(Z, W^j)$ dataset is available from either author by request.

908

909 **9. Acknowledgements**

910 Although not directly funded by any source, this study used data and models

911 from studies previously sponsored by Pacific Gas & Electric Company, the U.S. Fish
912 and Wildlife Service Anadromous Fish Restoration Program, Yuba County Water
913 Agency, and the Yuba Accord River Management Team. Co-author G.B. Pasternack
914 received support from the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture, Hatch
915 project number #CA-D-LAW-7034-H.

916

917 **10. References**

918 Abu-Aly TR, Pasternack GB, Wyrick JR, Barker R, Massa D, Johnson T. 2014. Effects
919 of LiDAR-derived, spatially distributed vegetation roughness on two-dimensional
920 hydraulics in a gravel-cobble river at flows of 0.2 to 20 times bankfull.
921 *Geomorphology* 206: 468-482. DOI: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.10.017

922 Adler, LL. 1980. Adjustment of Yuba River, California, to the influx of hydraulic mining
923 debris, 1849–1979. M.A. thesis, Geography Department, University of California,
924 Los Angeles.

925 Andrews ED. 1980. Effective and bankfull discharges of streams in the Yampa River
926 basin, Colorado and Wyoming. *Journal of Hydrology* 46: 311-330.

927 Bjorn TC, Reiser DW. 1991 Habitat Requirements of Salmonids in Streams. In:
928 Influences of Forest and Rangeland Management on Salmonid Fishes and Their
929 Habitats. Edited by W.R. Meehan. Special Publication 19. American Fisheries
930 Society. Bethesda, MD. pp. 83-138.

931 Brown RA. 2014. The Analysis and Synthesis of River Topography (Doctoral
932 Dissertation) University Of California, Davis. 187 pages.

933 Brown RA, Pasternack, GB. 2008. Engineered channel controls limiting spawning
934 habitat rehabilitation success on regulated gravel-bed rivers. *Geomorphology* 97:
935 631–654.

936 Brown RA, Pasternack GB. 2014. Hydrologic and Topographic Variability Modulate
937 Channel Change in Mountain Rivers. *Journal of Hydrology* 510: 551–564. DOI:
938 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.12.048

939 Brown, R.A., Pasternack, G.B., Wallender, W.W., 2014. Synthetic River Valleys:
940 Creating Prescribed Topography for Form-Process Inquiry and River
941 Rehabilitation Design. *Geomorphology* 214.

942 Brown, R.A., Pasternack, G.B., Lin, T., 2016. The topographic design of river channels
943 for form-process linkages. *Environmental Management*, 57(4), 929-942.

944 Burnett KM, Reeves GH, Miller DJ, Clarke S, Vance-Borland K, and Christiansen K.
945 2007. Distribution Of Salmon-Habitat Potential Relative To Landscape
946 Characteristics And Implications For Conservation. *Ecological Applications*
947 17:66–80.[http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/10510761\(2007\)017\[0066:DOSPRT\]2.0.CO;2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/10510761(2007)017[0066:DOSPRT]2.0.CO;2)

948 Caamaño D, Goodwin P, Buffington JM. 2009. Unifying criterion for the velocity reversal
949 hypothesis in gravel-bed rivers. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering* 135: 66–70.

950 Carbonneau P, Fonstad MA, Marcus WA, Dugdale SJ. 2012. Making riverscapes real.
951 *Geomorphology*. 137:74-86. DOI: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2010.09.030

952 Carley JK, Pasternack GB, Wyrick JR, Barker JR, Bratovich PM., Massa D, Reedy G, ,
953 Johnson TR. 2012. Significant decadal channel change 58–67years post-dam
954 accounting for uncertainty in topographic change detection between contour
955 maps and point cloud models. *Geomorphology* 179: 71-88. DOI:
956 10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.08.001

957 Carling PA, Orr HG. 2000. Morphology of riffle-pool sequences in the River Severn,
958 England. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 25: 369–384. DOI:
959 10.1002/(SICI)1096-9837(200004)25:4<369::AID-ESP60>3.0.CO;2-M

960 Carter G, Knapp C, Nuttall A. 1973. Estimation of the magnitude-squared coherence
961 function via overlapped fast Fourier transform processing. *IEEE Transactions on*
962 *Audio and Electroacoustics* 21: 337 – 344. DOI: 10.1109/TAU.1973.1162496

963 Cherkauer DS. 1973. Minimization of power expenditure in a riffle-pool alluvial channel.
964 *Water Resources Research* 9: 1613–1628.

965 Cienciala P, Pasternack, GB. in press. Floodplain Inundation Response to Climate,
966 Valley Form, and Flow Regulation on a Gravel-Bed River in a Mediterranean-
967 Climate Region. *Geomorphology*.

968 . Church, M, 2006. Multiple scales in rivers, In: Helmut Habersack, Hervé Piégay and
969 Massimo Rinaldi, Editor(s), *Developments in Earth Surface Processes*, Elsevier,
970 2007, Volume 11, Pages 3-28, ISSN 0928-2025, ISBN 9780444528612,
971 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0928-2025\(07\)11111-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0928-2025(07)11111-1)
972 1.(<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0928202507111111>)

973 Colombini M, Seminara G, Tubino M. 1987. Finite-amplitude alternate bars. *Journal of*
974 *Fluid Mechanics* 181: 213-232. DOI: 10.1017/S0022112087002064

- 975 Cox N, J. 1983. On the estimation of spatial autocorrelation in geomorphology. *Earth*
976 *Surface Processes and Landforms* 8: 89–93. DOI: 10.1002/esp.3290080109
- 977 Davis, W.M., 1909. *The Geographical Cycle*, Chapter 13, *Geographical Essays*. Ginn
978 and Co., New York.
- 979 DeAlmeida GAM, Rodriguez JF. 2012. Spontaneous formation and degradation of pool-
980 riffle morphology and sediment sorting using a simple fractional transport model.
981 *Geophysical Research Letters* 39, L06407, doi:10.1029/2012GL051059.
- 982 Dolan R, Howard A, Trimble D. 1978. Structural control of the rapids and pools of the
983 Colorado River in the Grand Canyon. *Science* 10: 629-631. DOI:
984 10.1126/science.202.4368.629
- 985 Doyle MW, Shields D, Boyd KF, Skidmore PB, Dominick D. 2007. Channel-Forming
986 Discharge Selection in River Restoration Design. *Journal of Hydraulic*
987 *Engineering* 133(7):831-837.
- 988 Escobar-Arias MI, Pasternack G.B. 2011. Differences in River Ecological Functions Due
989 to Rapid Channel Alteration Processes in Two California Rivers Using the
990 Functional Flows Model, Part 2- Model Applications. *River Research and*
991 *Applications* 27, 1–22, doi: 10.1002/rra.1335.
- 992 Frissell CA, Liss WJ, Warren CE, Hurley MD. 1986. A hierarchical framework for stream
993 habitat classification: Viewing streams in a watershed context. *Environmental*
994 *Management* 10(2): 199-214.
- 995 Gangodagamage, C, Barnes, E, Fofoula Georgiou, E. 2007. Scaling in river corridor
996 widths depicts organization in valley morphology, *Geomorphology*, 91, 198–215,
997 doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2007.04.014.
- 998 Gessese AF, Sellier M, Van Houten E, Smart, G. 2011. Reconstruction of river bed
999 topography from free surface data using a direct numerical approach in one-
1000 dimensional shallow water flow. *Inverse Problems* 27.
- 1001 Gilbert GK, 1917. *Hydraulic-mining debris in the Sierra Nevada*. United States
1002 Geological Survey Professional Paper 105.
- 1003 Ghoshal S, James LA, Singer MB, Aalto R. 2010. Channel and Floodplain Change
1004 Analysis over a 100-Year Period: Lower Yuba River, California. *Remote Sensing*,
1005 2(7): 1797.
- 1006 Guinn JM. 1890. Exceptional years: a history of California floods and drought. *Historical*
1007 *Society of Southern California* 1 (5): 33-39.

- 1008 Harman C, Stewardson M, DeRose R. 2008. Variability and uncertainty in reach
1009 bankfull hydraulic geometry. *Journal of Hydrology* 351(1-2):13-25, ISSN 0022-
1010 1694, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2007.11.015>.
- 1011 Harrison LR, Keller EA. 2007. Modeling forced pool–riffle hydraulics in a boulder-bed
1012 stream, southern California. *Geomorphology* 83: 232–248. DOI:
1013 10.1016/j.geomorph.2006.02.024
- 1014 Hernandez G. 1999. Time series, periodograms, and significance, *J. Geophys. Res.*,
1015 104(A5), 10355–10368, doi:10.1029/1999JA900026.
- 1016 Hey RD, Thorne CR. 1986. Stable channels with mobile gravel beds. *Journal of*
1017 *Hydraulic Engineering* 112: 671–689.
- 1018 Huang HQ, Chang HH, Nanson GC. 2004. Minimum energy as the general form of
1019 critical flow and maximum flow efficiency and for explaining variations in river
1020 channel pattern, *Water Resour. Res.*, 40, W04502, doi:10.1029/2003WR002539.
- 1021 Huang HQ, Deng C, Nanson GC, Fan B, Liu X, Liu T, Ma Y. 2014. A test of equilibrium
1022 theory and a demonstration of its practical application for predicting the
1023 morphodynamics of the Yangtze River. *Earth Surf. Process. Landforms*, 39: 669–
1024 675.
- 1025 Jackson JR, Pasternack GB, Wyrick JR. 2013. Substrate of the Lower Yuba River.
1026 Prepared for the Yuba Accord River Management Team. University of California,
1027 Davis, CA, 61pp.
- 1028 James LA, Singer MB, Ghoshal S. 2009. Historical channel changes in the lower Yuba
1029 and Feather Rivers, California: Long-term effects of contrasting river-
1030 management strategies. *Geological Society of America Special Papers* 451:57-
1031 81. DOI: 10.1130/2009.2451(04
- 1032 Keller E. 1971. Areal Sorting of Bed-Load Material: The Hypothesis of Velocity
1033 Reversal. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 82: 753-756.
- 1034 Keller EA, Melhorn WN. 1978. Rhythmic spacing and origin of pools and riffles: *GSA*
1035 *Bulletin* 89: 723-730. DOI: 10.1130/0016-7606(1978)89<723:RSAOOP>2.0.CO;2
- 1036 Knighton A. 1983. Models of stream bed topography at the reach scale. *Journal of*
1037 *Hydrology* 60.
- 1038 Lisle, T 1979. A Sorting Mechanism For A Riffle-Pool Sequence. *Geological Society of*
1039 *America Bulletin*, Part 11. 90: 1142-1157.

- 1040 Leopold LB, Maddock T. 1953. The Hydraulic Geometry of Stream Channels and Some
1041 Physiographic Implications. Geological Survey Professional Paper 252, United
1042 States Geological Survey, Washington, D.C.
- 1043 Leopold, LB and Langbein, WB. 1962. The Concept of Entropy in Landscape Evolution,
1044 U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 500-A, 20p.
- 1045 MacWilliams, ML, Jr, Wheaton, JM, Pasternack, GB, Street, RL, Kitanidis, PK. 2006.
1046 Flow convergence routing hypothesis for pool–riffle maintenance in alluvial rivers.
1047 Water Resources Research 42, W10427. doi:10.1029/2005WR004391.
- 1048 Madej MA. 2001. Development of channel organization and roughness following
1049 sediment pulses in single-thread, gravel bed rivers. Water Resources Research
1050 37: 2259-2272. DOI: 10.1029/2001WR000229
- 1051 Magirl CS, Webb RH, Griffiths PG. 2005. Changes in the water surface profile of the
1052 Colorado River in Grand Canyon, Arizona, between 1923 and 2000, Water
1053 Resour. Res., 41, W05021, doi:10.1029/2003WR002519.
- 1054 MacVicar BJ, Rennie CD. 2012. Flow and turbulence redistribution in a straight artificial
1055 pool. Water Resources Research 48, W02503, doi:10.1029/2010WR009374
- 1056 Marquis GA, Roy AG. 2011. Bridging the gap between turbulence and larger scales of
1057 flow motions in rivers. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 36: 563–568.
1058 doi:10.1002/esp.2131
- 1059 McKean JA, Isaac DJ, Wright CW. 2008. Geomorphic controls on salmon nesting
1060 patterns described by a new, narrow-beam terrestrial–aquatic lidar. Frontiers in
1061 Ecology and the Environment 6: 125-130. DOI: 10.1890/070109
- 1062 McKean J, Nagel D, Tonina D, Bailey P, Wright CW, Bohn,C, Nayegandhi A, 2009.
1063 Remote sensing of channels and riparian zones with a narrow-beam aquatic-
1064 terrestrial lidar. Remote Sensing, 1, 1065-1096; doi:10.3390/rs1041065.
- 1065 Melton MA. 1962. Methods for measuring the effect of environmental factors on channel
1066 properties. Journal of Geophysical Research 67: 1485-1490. DOI:
1067 10.1029/JZ067i004p01485
- 1068 Milan DJ, Heritage GL, Large ARG, Charlton ME. 2001. Stage dependent variability in
1069 tractive force distribution through a riffle-pool sequence. Catena 44: 85-109.
- 1070 Milne JA. 1982. Bed-material size and the riffle-pool sequence. Sedimentology 29: 267-
1071 278. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-3091.1982.tb01723.x

- 1072 Nelson PA, Brew AK, Morgan, JA. 2015. Morphodynamic response of a variable-width
1073 channel to changes in sediment supply. *Water Resources Research* 51: 5717–
1074 5734, doi:10.1002/2014WR016806.
- 1075 Newland DE. 1993. An introduction to random vibrations, spectral and wavelet analysis.
1076 Dover Publications.
- 1077 Nickelson TA, Rodgers J, Steven L. Johnson, Mario F. Solazzi. 1992. Seasonal
1078 Changes in Habitat Use by Juvenile Coho Salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) in
1079 Oregon Coastal Streams. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*,
1080 1992, 49:783-789, 10.1139/f92-088
- 1081 Nolan KM, Lisle TE, Kelsey HM. 1987. Bankfull discharge and sediment transport in
1082 northwestern California. In: R. Beschta, T. Blinn, G. E. Grant, F. J. Swanson, and
1083 G. G. Ice (ed.), *Erosion and Sedimentation in the Pacific Rim* (Proceedings of the
1084 Corvallis Symposium, August 1987). International Association of Hydrological
1085 Sciences Pub. No. 165, p. 439-449.
- 1086 Parker G., Toro-Escobar CM, Ramey M, Beck S, 2003. The effect of floodwater
1087 extraction on the morphology of mountain streams. *Journal of Hydraulic*
1088 *Engineering*, 129(11): 885-895.
- 1089 Pasternack GB, Tu D, Wyrick JR. 2014. Chinook adult spawning physical habitat of the
1090 lower Yuba River. Prepared for the Yuba Accord River Management Team.
1091 University of California, Davis, CA, 154pp.
- 1092 Pasternack GB, Wyrick JR. in press. Flood-driven topographic changes in a gravel-
1093 cobble river over segment, reach, and unit scales. *Earth Surface Processes and*
1094 *Landforms*
- 1095 Park CC. 1977. World-wide variations in hydraulic geometry exponents of stream
1096 channels: An analysis and some observations, *Journal of Hydrology* 33(1): 133-
1097 146, ISSN 0022-1694, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694\(77\)90103-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(77)90103-2).
- 1098 Phillips PJ, Harlin JM. 1984. Spatial dependency of hydraulic geometry exponents in a
1099 subalpine stream, *Journal of Hydrology* 71(3): 277-283. ISSN 0022-1694,
1100 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694\(84\)90101-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(84)90101-X).
- 1101 Pike RJ, Evans I, Hengl T. 2008. Geomorphometry: A Brief Guide. In: *Geomorphometry*
1102 *- Concepts, Software, Applications*, Hengl, T. and Hannes I. Reuter (eds.), Series
1103 *Developments in Soil Science* vol. 33, Elsevier, pp. 3-33, ISBN 978-0-12-374345-
1104 9

1105 Rayburg SC, Neave M. 2008. Assessing morphologic complexity and diversity in river
1106 systems using three-dimensional asymmetry indices for bed elements, bedforms
1107 and bar units. *River Research and Applications* 24: 1343–1361. DOI:
1108 10.1002/rra.1096

1109 Rendell H, Alexander D. 1979. Note on some spatial and temporal variations in
1110 ephemeral channel form. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 9: 761-772. DOI:
1111 10.1130/0016-7606(1979)90<761:NOSSAT>2.0.CO;2

1112 Repetto R, Tubino M, 2001. Topographic Expressions of Bars in Channels with Variable
1113 Width. *Phys. Chem. Earth (B)*, Vol. 26:71-76.

1114 Richards KS. 1976a. The morphology of riffle-pool sequences. *Earth Surface Processes*
1115 1: 71-88. DOI: 10.1002/esp.3290010108

1116 Richards KS. 1976b. Channel width and the riffle-pool sequence. *Geological Society of*
1117 *America Bulletin* 87: 883-890.

1118 Richards KS. 1979. Stochastic processes in one dimension: An introduction. *Concepts*
1119 *and Techniques In Modern Geography* No. 23. 30 pages.

1120 Richter BD, Braun DP, Mendelson MA, Master LL. 1997. Threats to Imperiled
1121 Freshwater Fauna. *Conservation Biology* 11: 1081–1093.

1122 Rosgen D, 1996. Applied River Morphology (Wildland Hydrology, Pagosa Springs,
1123 Colorado). Wildland Hydrology, Pagosa Springs, CO.

1124 Salas JD. 1980. Applied modeling of hydrologic time series. Applied modeling of
1125 hydrologic time series. Water Resources Publications. Littleton, Colorado.

1126 Sawyer, AM, Pasternack GB, Moir HJ, Fulton AA. 2010. Riffle-pool maintenance and
1127 flow convergence routing confirmed on a large gravel bed river. *Geomorphology*,
1128 114: 143-160

1129 Schumm SA. 1971. Fluvial geomorphology: channel adjustment and river
1130 metamorphosis. In: Shen, H.W. (Ed.), *River Mechanics*. H.W. Shen, Fort Collins,
1131 CO, pp. 5-1–5-22.

1132 Shields D, Copeland R., Klingeman P, Doyle M, and Simon A. 2003. Design for Stream
1133 Restoration. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering* 10.1061/(ASCE)0733-
1134 9429(2003)129:8(575), 575-584.

1135 Shumway RH, Stoffer DS. 2010. Time series analysis and its applications: with R
1136 examples. *Time series analysis and its applications: with R examples*. 505
1137 pages. Springer US.

- 1138 Simon AM, Doyle M, Kondolf M, Shields FD, Rhoads B, and McPhillips M. 2007. Critical
 1139 Evaluation of How the Rosgen Classification and Associated “Natural Channel
 1140 Design” Methods Fail to Integrate and Quantify Fluvial Processes and Channel
 1141 Response. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association* 43(5):1117-
 1142 1131. DOI: 10.1111 / j.1752-1688.2007.00091.x
- 1143 Strom MA, Pasternack GB, Wyrick JR. 2016. Reenvisioning velocity reversal as a
 1144 diversity of hydraulic patch behaviors. *Hydrologic Processes*, doi:
 1145 10.1002/hyp.10797.
- 1146 Surian N, Mao L, Giacomini M, and Ziliani L. 2009. Morphological effects of different
 1147 channel-forming discharges in a gravel-bed river. *Earth Surface Processes and
 1148 Landforms* 34: 1093–1107. doi:10.1002/esp.1798
- 1149 Thomson JR, Taylor MP, Fryirs KA, Brierley GJ. 2001. A geomorphological framework
 1150 for river characterization and habitat assessment. *Aquatic Conservation-Marine
 1151 and Freshwater Ecosystems*, 11(5), 373-389.
- 1152 Thompson DM. 2010. The velocity-reversal hypothesis revisited. *Progress in Physical
 1153 Geography* 35: 123–132. DOI: 10.1177/0309133310369921
- 1154 Thornbury WD. 1954. *Principles of geomorphology*. John Wiley, New York.
- 1155 Trauth MH, Gebbers R, Marwan N, Sillmann E. 2006. *MATLAB recipes for earth
 1156 sciences*. Springer
- 1157 Wolman MG, Gerson R. 1978. Relative Scales of Time and Effectiveness of Climate in
 1158 Watershed Geomorphology. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 3(2): 189-
 1159 208.
- 1160 White JQ, Pasternack GB, Moir HJ. 2010. Valley width variation influences riffle–pool
 1161 location and persistence on a rapidly incising gravel-bed river. *Geomorphology*
 1162 121: 206–221. DOI: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2010.04.012
- 1163 Wilkinson SN, Keller RJ, Rutherford ID. 2004. Phase-shifts in shear stress as an
 1164 explanation for the maintenance of pool–riffle sequences. *Earth Surface
 1165 Processes and Landforms* 29: 737–753. DOI: 10.1002/esp.1066
- 1166 Williams GP. 1978. Bank-full discharge of rivers, *Water Resources Research* 14:1141–
 1167 1154. doi:10.1029/WR014i006p01141.
- 1168 Wohl EE, Thompson DM, Miller AJ. 1999. Canyons with undulating walls, *Geological
 1169 Society of America Bulletin* 111, 949–959.

Comment [RAB1]: Wolman, M. G. and Gerson, R. (1978), Relative scales of time and effectiveness of climate in watershed geomorphology. *Earth Surf. Process.*, 3: 189–208. doi:10.1002/esp.3290030207

- 1170 Wyrick JR, Pasternack GB. 2012. Landforms of the lower Yuba River. University of
1171 California, Davis.
- 1172 Wyrick JR, Pasternack GB. 2014. Geospatial organization of fluvial landforms in a
1173 gravel–cobble river: Beyond the riffle–pool couplet. *Geomorphology* 213: 48-65.
1174 DOI: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.12.040
- 1175 Wyrick JR, Pasternack GB. 2015. Revealing the natural complexity of topographic
1176 change processes through repeat surveys and decision-tree classification. *Earth
1177 Surface Processes and Landforms*, doi: 10.1002/esp.3854.
- 1178 Yalin, MS. 1977. *Mechanics of sediment transport*. Elsevier
- 1179 Yang CT. 1971. Potential Energy and Stream Morphology. *Water Resources Research*
1180 7. DOI: 10.1029/WR007i002p00311
- 1181 Yu B, Wolman MG. 1987. Some dynamic aspects of river geometry, *Water Resources
1182 Research* 23(3): 501–509. doi:10.1029/WR023i003p00501.

1183 11. List of Figures

1184 Figure 1. Regional and vicinity map of the lower Yuba River (A) and extent of study
1185 segment showing inundation extents predicted by the 2D model (B).

1186

1187 Figure 2. Raw bed profile (A) and flow width (B) series for 283.2 m³/s. After detrending
1188 and standardizing, values of Z (black line in C) and W (blue line in C) are multiplied
1189 together to compute $C(Z, W^j)$ (red line in C). The whole series of $C(Z, W^j)$ is the GCS
1190

1191 Figure 3. Conceptual key for interpreting $C(Z, W^j)$ geomorphic covariance structures
1192 (A). For quadrant 1 Z and W^j are both relatively high, so that implies wide and shallow
1193 areas associated with deposition. Conversely, in quadrant 2 Z is relatively low, but and
1194 W^j is relatively high, which implies deep and wide cross areas, which implies that these
1195 areas may have been scoured at larger flows. In quadrant 3 Z and W^j are both
1196 relatively low, so that implies narrow and deep areas associated with erosion. Finally, in

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Font color: Auto,
Pattern: Clear

1197 quadrant 4 Z is relatively high and W^j is relatively low, so that implies narrow and
1198 topographically high areas. Prototypical channels and GCS with positive (B), and
1199 negative (C) $C(Z, W^j)$ colored according to (A).

1200

1201 Figure 4. Example section in the middle of the study area showing inundation extents
1202 (A). Below are plots of minimum bed elevation (B), flow widths for 8.50 m³/s, 283.2 m³/s,
1203 and 3,126 m³/s (C), and $C(Z, W^j)$ for the same flows. The aerial image is for a flow of
1204 21.29 m³/s on 9/28/2006.

1205

1206 Figure 5. Example section at the lower extent of the study area showing inundation
1207 extents (A). Below are plots of minimum bed elevation (B), flow widths for 8.50 m³/s,
1208 283.2 m³/s, and 3,126 m³/s (C), and $C(Z, W^j)$ for the same flows. The aerial image is for
1209 a flow of 21.29 m³/s on 9/28/2006.

1210

1211 Figure 6. Histogram of $C(Z, W^j)$ classified by positive and negative values as well as >
1212 and < 1 (A). Also shown is a histogram classified by quadrant (B). Both illustrate an
1213 overall tendency for $C(Z, W^j) > 0$ with increasing discharge and also illustrating an
1214 increasing tendency for positive values of $C(Z, W^j) > 1$ up until 283.2 m³/s after which it
1215 declines. Colors represent bin centered values.

1216

1217 Figure 7. Pearson's correlation coefficient for Z and W^j between each flow.

1218

1219 Figure 8. Pearson's correlation coefficient for sequential pairs of flow dependent wetted

1220 width series.

1221

1222 Figure 9. Autocorrelation (A) and PSD (B) of $C(Z, W^j)$ with increasing flow. For the
1223 ACF plot (A), only values exceeding white noise at the 95% level are shown and the red
1224 counter demarcates the 95% level for an AR1 process(red noise). For the PSD plot (B)
1225 only values exceeding white noise at the 95% level are shown.

1226

1227 Table 1. Flows analyzed and their approximate annual recurrence intervals.

1228

1229 Table 2. Linear trend models and R^2 for Z and W^j used in detrending each series.

1230

1231 Table 3. Mann Whitney U-test p values amongst all combinations of Z and W^j at the
1232 95% level.

1233

1234