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I applaud the author for taking the time to share effective practices for the combined use of the Google Earth Engine Digitization Tool and the Margin change Quantification Tool. A substantial portion of the paper is devoted to both screen shots and description of the approach. This detailed description, along with Figure 1, does not provide a usable sequence of steps a researcher can follow. Though I have some experience with Google Earth Engine, I could not use the sequence of steps here to derive a useful outcome. I would recommend as a supplement that a screen capture video be used to replace Figure 1 (NASA Earthdata example: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9ZoqZTmTjmE).

I would also suggest that the basic script that is used to generate results for the provided example of Breiðamerkurjökull be shared for one of the techniques. This will ensure the author’s approach can be readily followed and adapted to other research studies.

Specific Suggestions Abstract: Make reference to Breiðamerkurjökull as the case study used to demonstrate the techniques.

28: . . . of glacier margin/boundary changes. . .
96: In line 96 shared code is referred to, what shared code?
219: “they” must refer to the methods being transferrable. Unclear if there is a set of developed methods, and one would choose from this menu depending on the research question.
231: Figure 2 not of value here, move to supplement or simply into screen capture video.
360-368: Recommend this be in a supplement.
381: What level of detail was used in this case?
402: How much elevation change is significant?
420: The methods are more representative as they also average changes across the front.
434: For tidewater glaciers does this tool have potential for even shorter time periods that may help quantify velocity, calving and frontal melt rates, such as in Moyer et al (2017)?.

442: MaQiT is highly dependent on coding skill, yet for those without coding skill it takes time to derive a single margin change value. For this group of users, myself
included, how usable is MaQIT?

465: Is it possible to incorporate UAV photogrammetry or LIDAR data into MaQIT as a compliment to satellite imagery, that may have more detailed boundary mapping such as the type of data used by Fischer et al (2015) and Ryan et al, (2015). No detail need be provided just a basic explanation of whether it is possible in these two tools.


