Interactive comment on “Observations and scaling of tidal mass transport across the lower Ganges-Brahmaputra delta plain: implications for delta management and sustainability” by Richard Hale et al.
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Received and published: 26 October 2018

This study details field observations of tides and sediment transport in the tidal region of the Ganges-Brahmaputra-Mengha Delta system. This research is important because of the dearth of direct measurement in this vast system, and provides first insights about how the delta keeps pace with relative sea level rise, context for recent human-induced changes, and a baseline for proposed large scale water projects. The authors characterize tidal range, tidal prism, and sediment transport at a few key sites on primary and secondary distributary channels in the tidal region of the delta. This
manuscript significantly increases our understanding of this system. I have a few questions, but I think that this paper should be published in ESURF after minor revisions. The sediment concentration and transport data are the most important deliverable to me, but I have a hard time summarizing the findings, because they seem contradictory. Point 1: suspended sediment concentration in a secondary channel increases during the wet season by three fold, indicating a fluvial origin (Figure 2). Point 2: Surveys of net sediment discharge in a primary channel collected over all survey days reveal a net import of sediment (Figure 7), which suggests that sediment transport is primarily dependent on net water discharge, which suggests that freshwater arrival is of secondary importance. However, the flux variation here is also about a factor of three or four (Table 1), consistent with the secondary (BR) channel. I encourage the authors to test the hypothesis that the transport of sediments is really controlled by the same thing in both primary (Shibsa) and secondary (BR) channels. I understand that this is difficult to do given the varying data types, but that is a simpler and more tractable explanation.

Minor comments

L258: I think that this is a relatively weak reason to ignore bedload. My intuition is that lots of bed material sand can become suspended under achievable shear velocities and contribute to SSC measurements during velocity maxima, and be transported onto secondary channels or islands if there is enough water discharge. I would say you can neglect bedload if there are no bedforms in your multibeam surveys. Otherwise, I think you just need to say that it could be happening, but that it's is likely far less than the suspended component and necessarily neglect it from surveys.

L261: I do not know what “tiling observations” means. Perhaps a quick definition is in order.

L339: It took me a minute to figure out that the tidal prisms you are measuring are from integrating the discharge. I imagine prisms as a space filled, which would be impossible to measure. Consider defining how prisms are found.
L459: Total _annual_ mass transport

L496: I do not understand how sediment moving through the system could be “almost wholly derived from the river mouth,” but that the flux through the five major tidal channels could be estimated as roughly equal to the sediment flux of the main river (L486-487). I would suspect that there could also be significant re-entrainment of continental shelf or island sediments that were once river derived, but have been in the coastal zone for years or maybe far longer than that. I think that the case for re-entrained sediments can’t be disproved here.

L555: led to a reduction in tidal prism... assuming no feedbacks to tidal dynamics, correct?
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The Hale et al. manuscript is a fine addition to the very sparse literature on water and sediment dynamics in the Ganges-Brahmaputra coastal zone. I think the paper, which should be published, could be improved in several ways.

1. The dataset is sparse, which is understandable given the difficult logistical conditions to work in this setting. However, absence in particular of CTD cast data synchronous with the OBS cast data, left a number of questions in my mind about the possibilities of
water column salinity stratification in the channels during the dry season, and sediment stratification and bed storage and or sediment convergence during both studies at slack periods and seasonally. I realize that the authors can’t fully address these issues, but I think some of the questions could be allayed by presenting some of the original data—ADCP transects of velocity magnitude, direction and backscatter intensity, and OBS profiles for these example sections. None of this data that is used to calculate fluxes is presented as is, and, seeing some of it would be beneficial to the reader.

2. The methodology is lengthy. If necessary, it could be split off into a supplementary methods section, that would allow greater detail on some of the data manipulations to arrive at fluxes that were only briefly covered in the existing version.

3. I believe some mention of the potential importance of tropical cyclones needs presenting in the intro and discussion. That is, these large events may have an impact on sediment fluxes in the system that may or may not exceed the seasonal and tidal scale processes. Although there is no data presented here, it should be mentioned as a possible and unresolved control in the system.

4. line 166. OBS’s do not measure SSC’s, they measure turbidity and have to be calibrated. Hence, while the profiler OBS was calibrated as discussed, how did SSC’s get derived for the long-term station at Sutarkhali?

5. line 256. This mentions ignoring bedload transport but, what is neglected is sand transport in suspension (bed material load transport). Since water sampling was not done isokinetically (Niskin), this component was missed or undersampled. It appears from the water flux rates (no adcp velocity profiles shown) that the tidal energies are high enough during max ebb and flood to transport sand. I would mention this caveat to be fair about what you are actually measuring (fine flux).

In Response to R1:

Dear Dr. Shaw, I think your assessment of our major findings is accurate, except that I see no contradiction. In the primary channel, we maintain that tidal stage (i.e., discharge) is the dominant control on suspended sediment concentrations year round, with the introduction of GBM-derived material during the monsoon playing a secondary role. In the smaller channels (e.g., Bhadra), seasonal delivery of new material appears to play a larger role in sediment flux. This introduces the idea of a “discharge threshold” above which seasonal sediment delivery is relatively less important, however future research is required to test this idea.

L258 – Point taken. This sentence was introduced to let the reader know that we are not forgetting about bedload. The revised manuscript will be more explicit.

L261 – Text will be updated to explain that “tiling observations” means repeating the measured time series at 12.4-h increments to improve interpolation/extrapolation accuracy.

L339 – We will make sure to define tidal prisms as the integrated discharge in this context.

L459 – This change will be made.

L496 – We don’t mean to imply that extensive mixing is not happening – quite the opposite. Several studies (e.g., Rogers et al., 2013; Rogers and Overeem, 2017) have demonstrated the presence of a weak, excess-\(^{7}\)Be signal in sediment accumulating on the mangrove platform during the monsoon season, with mixing/dilution offered as one potential explanation. This comparison was intended to provide the reader with a sense of scale. We have reworded to be more clear about our intended meaning.

L555 – In this case, the “volume reduction” refers to poldered area that would have been flooded by the Shibsa and its distributaries, multiplied by a characteristic flooding depth. We have reworded this as “reduction or redistribution” to clarify.

In response to R2:

Dear Dr. Allison, Thank you for your review if our manuscript. Please consider the following responses to your concerns:

1 - As you mention, field logistics are challenging here, and for most of this research we did not have a profiling CTD at our avail. Anecdotally, we observe sediment laden plumes regularly boiling to the surface during energetic tides in all seasons, suggesting a physically well-mixed system. Shaha and Cho (2016) demonstrate minimal stratification in primary channel (Pussur) regardless of season, although they do indicate that early in the wet season (e.g., July) mixing between Shibsa and Pussur channels (which occurs in the Dhaki) can result in vertical stratification. Wilson et al. (AGU conference 2018) published observations of surface conductivity along a transect extending from the study area to the Bay of Bengal coastline in March 2015 (dry season). They demonstrate a consistent increase from P-32 (~24 mS/cm) to
the coast of the Bay of Bengal (~40 mS/cm), supporting again that water columns are vertically mixed, rather than stratified.

We have included a figure with example ADCP cross-section velocity data, and SSC casts, to further transparency into our method.

2 – We will have added more detail to this calculation in the final version.

3 – Good point. We are well aware of the regional importance of tropical cyclones, and their potential to move sediment. We will update the text to include this discussion.

4 – Thank you for this clarification. In fact, the OBS deployed in the tidal channel was the same instrument used in the dry season field work (again – we were instrument-limited). The calibration was built from the >100 filtered water samples. We have restructured the methods to describe this calibration earlier on.

5 – Thank you. A similar concern was raised by Referee 1, and we invite you to consider our response to them.
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Abstract

The landscape of southwest Bangladesh, a region constructed primarily by fluvial
processes associated with the Ganges and Brahmaputra Rivers, is now maintained almost
exclusively by tidal processes as the fluvial system has migrated east and eliminated most
direct fluvial input. In natural areas such as the Sundarbans National Forest, year-round
inundation during spring high tides delivers sufficient sediment that enables vertical
accretion to keep pace with relative sea-level rise. However, recent human modification of
the landscape in the form of embankment construction has terminated this pathway of
sediment delivery for much of the region, resulting in a startling elevation imbalance, with
inhabited areas often sitting >1 m below mean high water. Restoring this landscape, or
preventing land loss in the natural system, requires an understanding of how rates of water
and sediment flux vary across time scales ranging from hours to months. In this study, we
combine time-series observations of water level, salinity, and suspended sediment
concentration, with ship-based measurements of large tidal-channel hydrodynamics and
sediment transport. To capture the greatest possible range of variability, cross-channel
transects designed to encompass a 12.4-h tidal cycle were performed in both dry and wet
seasons, during spring and neap tides.

Regional suspended sediment concentration begins to increase in August, coincident with a
decrease in local salinity, indicating the arrival of the sediment-laden, freshwater plume of
the combined Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna rivers. We observe profound seasonality in
sediment transport, despite comparatively modest seasonal variability in the magnitude of
water discharge. These observations emphasize the importance of seasonal sediment
delivery from the mainstem rivers to this remote tidal region. On tidal time-scales, spring
tides transport an order of magnitude more sediment than neap tides in both the wet and
dry seasons. In aggregate, sediment transport is flood-oriented, likely a result of tidal
pumping. Finally, we note that rates of sediment and water discharge in the tidal channels
are of the same scale as the annually averaged values for the Ganges or Brahmaputra
rivers. These observations provide context for examining the relative importance of fluvial
and tidal processes in what has been defined as a quintessentially tidally influenced delta in
the classification scheme of Galloway (1975). These data also inform critical questions
regarding the timing and magnitude of sediment delivery to the region, which are
especially important in predicting, and preparing for, responses of the natural system to
ongoing environmental change.
1 - Introduction

The world’s great deltas are currently threatened by a variety of factors, including global sea level rise (Overeem and Syvitski, 2009), overpopulation (Ericson et al., 2006), changes in sediment supply (Syvitski 2003; Syvitski and Milliman, 2007; Anthony et al., 2015; Darby et al., 2016; Best, 2019), and other human-related activities such as water diversions, flood control structures, and groundwater and hydrocarbon extraction (Syvitski et al., 2009). The Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna (GBM) delta is one of the most heavily populated regions that is undergoing locally accelerated sea-level rise (~0.5 cm/y; Higgins et al., 2014) due to a combination of natural and anthropogenic factors including eustatic sea-level change, tectonic subsidence, fine-grained sediment compaction, and groundwater extraction (Overeem and Syvitski, 2009; Syvitski, 2008; Steckler et al., 2010). In addition, when factors such as tidal amplification due to anthropogenic reworking of the distributary channel network are considered, the relative rate of sea-level rise can exceed 1.6 cm/yr (Pethick and Orford, 2013). Furthermore, the future viability of the delta is threatened by the proposed construction of dams and water diversions associated with India’s National River Linking Project, which, if completed as proposed, could drastically reduce sediment delivery to Bangladesh (Higgins et al., 2018).

Restoration of land-surface elevation in many populated areas in the GBM delta is already necessary due to the relative loss in elevation that has occurred since the widespread construction of embankments during the 1960s to 1980s. Both planned (tidal river management) and unplanned (embankment failures) flooding of local polders (the embanked islands) has demonstrated the capacity of the natural system for effective sediment transport and deposition, with decimeters of annual accretion observed during recent breach events (Khadim et al., 2013; Auerbach et al., 2015; Kamal et al., 2017; Darby et al., 2018). One of the most important strategies that has been forwarded to reduce the threat of unintended inundations in SW Bangladesh is a plan for polder management (Brammer, 2014). However, many questions concerning potential management strategies remain, not the least of which are an accurate quantification of total available sediment mass and an understanding of the tidal processes involved in its transport and deposition. Toward these goals, the present study provides observation-based calculations of water and sediment transport through a major tidal channel in the delta across spring-neap tidal cycles and seasonal time scales, with the goal of identifying the timing and magnitude of mass sediment exchange between the different tidal channels. Not considered in the present study are the potential impacts of tropical cyclones, which directly impact Bangladesh 0.3-1.5 times per year (Murty et al., 2986; Alam et al., 2003; Saha and Khan, 2014), and can significantly affect the local landscape (Auerbach et al., 2015). The results presented herein are considered in the context of prior research concerning sediment accumulation and rates of channel infilling to better understand the role of tidal mass transport within the lower GBM delta plain.

2 - Background
Much of the low-lying coastal region of SW Bangladesh is under threat of long-term inundation (Auerbach et al., 2015; Brown and Nicholls, 2015). The risk is particularly acute for islands that were embanked (“poldered”) in the 1960s and 1970s as part of a program designed to increase the area of arable land through the prevention of tidal inundation in agricultural areas (Islam, 2006; Nowreen et al., 2014). Approximately 5000 km of polder embankments were built by hand, generating 9000 km² of new farmland, but also eliminating the semi-diurnal exchange of water and sediment between the tidal channels and tidal platform (Islam, 2006; Nowreen et al., 2014). As a result, sediment resupply pathways have been effectively terminated and the former floodplain surface in these regions now lies 1.0-1.5 m below mean high water due to a combination of sediment starvation, enhanced compaction, and tidal-range amplification (Auerbach et al., 2015; Pethick and Orford, 2013).

In contrast to the poldered landscape, the adjacent mangrove system of the Sundarbans National Forest (SNF) is primarily inundated during spring high tides, and its sedimentation and vegetation are keeping pace with sea-level rise (Rogers et al., 2013; Auerbach et al., 2015). Protecting the SNF is of critical importance, as coastal wetlands and mangroves provide irreplaceable ecosystem services including storm-surge buffering (Uddin et al., 2013; Marois and Mitsch, 2015; Hossain et al., 2016; Sakib et al., 2015), serving as effective carbon traps (Mcleod et al., 2011; Alongi, 2012; Pendleton et al., 2012) and perhaps even helping to combat the impacts of ocean acidification (Yan, 2016).

For the GBM delta, a unit-scale analysis of mass balance (Rogers et al., 2013) suggests that the annual sediment load of the GBM river system (~1.1 Gt/y) is sufficient to aggrade the entire delta system at rates ≥ 0.5 cm/yr, and thus provides potential to keep pace with moderately high rates of sea-level rise. Such aggradation, of course, requires effective dispersal of riverine sediment to disparate regions of the delta. Recent research suggests a close coupling of discharge at the river mouth to sediment deposition in the remote delta plain by way of tidal exchange (Allison and Keppe, 2001; Rogers et al., 2013; Auerbach et al., 2015; Wilson et al., 2017). Such tidally supported sedimentation yields mean accretion rates of ~1 cm/yr, with local observations regularly reaching 3-5 cm/yr, which together indicate robust sediment delivery to the Sundarbans and SW coastal region (Rogers et al., 2013; Rogers and Overeem, 2017). Thus, as the principal conduit for sediment that can maintain the elevation of this region, an understanding and quantification of the tidal water and sediment exchange is essential to foresee future impacts of accelerated sea-level rise and the potential for mitigation.

3 - Methods

3.1 - Study Area

Our research concerns a network of tidal channels located approximately 80 km from the coast along the Pussur River system, itself one of five similarly sized tidal distributary networks (Fig. 1). Tidal exchange extends >120 km inland of the coast along the Pussur River, with one branch ultimately connecting to the Goral River, a distributary of the mainstem Ganges River (Fig. 1). The tidal range along the Pussur River approaches its maximum in the study area at 4-5 m for the spring tidal range, as compared with 3-3.5 m...
on the coast at Hiron Point. The area is also societally relevant, lying at the transition from
the pristine Sundarbans forest to the embanked polders, and near the formerly active
shipping port of Mongla and cyclone- and flood- impacted island of Polder 32 (labelled P32
on Fig. 1; Auerbach et al., 2015).

Within this area, our observations were collected in the primary tidal channel of the Shibsa
River and two of its major bifurcations that connect with the Pussur channel, the Dhakia
River and Bhadra River (Fig. 1). The Shibsa River is the largest of these channels, with local
widths of 1-2 km, compared to 0.25-0.8 km and 0.15-0.3 km, for the Dhakai and Bhadra
Rivers, respectively. At its eastern extent, the Dhakia River connects to the Pussur River,
serving as the first major cross-channel to link the Shibsa and Pussur River channels after
they bifurcate ~60 km to the south (Fig. 1). At its upstream extent, the Pussur tidal channel
connects with the downstream mouth of the Gorai River, which delivers a water discharge
of ~3000 m³/s during the monsoon season and decreasing to ~0 m³/s during the dry
season (Winterwerp and Giardino, 2012). Salinity in the study area ranges from 0-1 PSU
during the monsoon, to 20-30 PSU during the dry season (Shaha and Cho, 2016; Ayers et
al., 2018). This seasonal variation in salinity is controlled by local runoff, freshwater
discharge from the Gorai River, and to a much larger extent, the magnitude of the regional
discharge plume of the GBM rivers (Rogers et al., 2013).

3.2. - Hydrodynamic Observations

To establish tidal stage and capture surface-water elevations during the hydrodynamic
surveys, pressure sensors were deployed at multiple locations across the study area (Fig.
1). All sensors were deployed as close to low water as possible and recorded at 5- or 10-
minute intervals. Periods of subaerial sensor exposure (of up to 150 minutes at low tide)
were interpolated using a robust ordinary least-squares method provided by Grinsted
(2008). The agreement between measurement and prediction was generally good, with
predicted range being 0.98 of the measured range for a given time period, thus suggesting
that the interpolated data are both reasonable and conservative. The values reported
herein are of the interpolated values. Tidal range, water temperature, and conductivity
have also been monitored continuously since 2014 at the Sutarkhali station (Fig. 1B), with
an optical backscatter sensor (OBS) calibrated to measure suspended sediment
concentration (SSC) added in late March 2015. Prior to deployment in the tidal channel,
this OBS was used to measure vertical profiles of SSC on the Shibsa River, with
simultaneous water samples being collected to calibrate the instrument response to SSC.
Water samples were filtered using pre-weighted 0.4-µm nitrocellulose filters and washed
with freshwater to remove salts. The filters were then dried overnight and re-weighted to
determine the volume-concentration of sediment. The OBS measurements were calibrated
by comparing the voltage response observed in the field with the measured concentrations
from the same time and location, in a method modified from Ogston and Sternberg (1999).
Correlation between filtered samples and instrument voltage was strong, with an average
r-squared value of 0.83 ± 0.1. While the sediment concentrations recorded by this near-bed
instrument are not directly comparable to the depth-averaged measurements made during
the present cross-channel surveys, we herein use these data to extend our understanding
of system behavior between the dry and monsoon seasons. For broader context, data from
the sensors deployed at the Sutarkhali station are also compared to monthly averaged water discharge for the Ganges and Brahmaputra rivers for the period 1980-2000, based on data from the Bangladesh Water Development Board, and Ganges River sediment discharge data digitized from Lupker et al. (2011).

To quantify water and sediment flux in this area of the tidal transport system, cross-channel hydrodynamic surveys were conducted during spring and neap tidal conditions at two transects on the Shibsa River during the dry (March 2015) and wet (August/September 2015) seasons. An additional wet season transect was also conducted during moderate tides on the Pussur River. On the Shibsa River, the southern transect was located south of the poldered landscape and entirely within the confines of the Sundarbans forest (Fig. 1). The northern transect was located ~12 km upstream in the poldered region, just south of the Dhaki-Shibsa confluence and adjacent to Polder 32 to the east and Polder 10-12 to the west (Fig. 1B). Two secondary channels are present between these transects, locations that divert water onto the Sundarbans tidal platform and associated creek network. Dry season surveys at both the southern and northern transects took place during peak neap (15-16 Mar) and spring (21-22 Mar) tides. During the ensuing monsoon season, spring tides were measured on August 30-31 (southern transect) and September 2 (northern transect), followed by neap tides on September 7 and 8 (northern and southern transects, respectively). Surveys lasted for 11-13 hours as conditions allowed, encompassing approximately one-half of a tidal cycle (i.e., one high and one low tide).

Because this system is largely semi-diurnal with a minimal mixed component, we are confident that this time interval was long enough to accurately describe the system dynamics.

The surveys were conducted using Sontek M9 multi-frequency ADCPs to collect flow-perpendicular observations of current velocity and direction. Data were collected at 1 Hz, using both 1.0 and 3.0 MHz transducers, resulting in vertical bins ranging in height from 0.1-1.0 m. From these values, total discharge was calculated by integrating velocity over space and time. River conventions are used for presenting velocity and discharge data, where positive values refer to the ebb or downstream direction and negative values for the flood or upstream transport. A typical survey day included 50-60 individual river crossings at the transect location, measuring cumulative discharge in both directions across the channel. Examples of cross-channel transects of velocity and SSC used to compute instantaneous water and sediment discharge can be found in Figure 2. Because surveys could only be conducted during daylight hours and as weather conditions allowed, discharge is interpolated to complete a 12.4-hour tidal cycle, which is the average tidal cycle duration in the area (range: 11.9-13.1 h). By assuming that the change in tidal prism is negligible between consecutive tides, as suggested by the similarity in tidal elevations (Fig. 3), we can tile measurements in 12.4 h increments and interpolate using a cubic spline. Working conditions were particularly challenging during the monsoon season, resulting in especially short-duration survey days. In the absence of measured discharge, we use a mass balance approach to constrain the magnitude of the missing tidal prism data.

For the monsoon-season spring tides, we treat the region between the southern and northern transects and the southern Bhadra River as a closed system with no long-term (>1 semidiurnal period) water storage. Using measured Bhadra River discharge values and
assuming a negligible to slightly southerly-directed net flux through the adjacent Sundarbans, allows us to determine the likely range of values for the unmeasured ebb prism at the southern transect. For the monsoon-season neap tides, we consider the larger region bounded by the southern transect to the southwest, the Pussur River below the Dhaki River confluence to the southwest, and the Bangladesh Water Development Board gauging station at the Goral Railway Bridge ~275 river km to the north. Balancing the measured net flux through the Pussur River and the recorded upstream discharge of the Goral River of 3000 m³/s with the measured ebb prism at the southern transect allows us to estimate the missing southern transect flood prism. We then repeated this spring tide procedure to estimate the unmeasured neap flood prism at the northern transect.

### 3.3 – Sediment Observations

In addition to water discharge, observations of SSC along the transect lines were made using a combination of filtered water samples and optical-backscatter (OBS) measurements. While the exact sampling method varied depending on available instrumentation and river conditions, the general approach involved collecting OBS profiles to the maximum possible depth (<10 m), at either two (northern transect) or three (southern transect) locations along the channel edges and centerline (Figs 1–2). OBS measurements were supplemented by simultaneous water samples (100-200 ml) collected from various depths using a Niskin sampler, which were used to calibrate the OBS as described above (Section 3.1).

In order to calculate total sediment fluxes, the vertically and horizontally distributed SSC observations collected for each channel cross-section were averaged to produce a series of temporally discrete SSC values over the course of one tidal cycle (Figs 2, 4). This spatial averaging appears suitable because the variance was considerably smaller than the temporal variability associated with tidal discharge and strong seasonal contrasts. Using wet season data as an example, the average standard deviation of SSC through time at one sample location was 0.2 g/L, while the average standard deviation of SSC between stations at any given time was 0.13 g/L. When conditions did not allow samples to be collected at depths below the water surface, a scaling factor of 1.25 was applied to account for the higher sub-surface SSC, which we determined by the relationship between depth-averaged concentrations and surface concentrations from the other available data. Similarly, measurements from 15 March (dry-season neap tide) were only collected at depths of 5 and 15 m and were thus scaled by a factor of 0.81 to be comparable to other measurements that included surface SSC values.

An important caveat for all SSC measurements is that we present data collected primarily from the upper water column and not sampled isokinetically, due to instrument limitations and high current velocities. Thus, our values principally represent suspended load and do not account for bedload transport, which likely represents an additional component of total sediment transport. As with our water-discharge measurements, SSC values were calculated over an entire tidal cycle by repeating a measured time series in 12.4 hour increments, then interpolating using a cubic spline. From these values, the integrated
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4 - Results

4.1 - Long-term Pressure and OBS

At our long-term station deployed in a secondary tidal channel (Fig. 1), recorded water-level variations show tidal-period excursions with a range of 1.8 to 4.8 m over the 12 months of observation (Fig. 3). This variance is, of course, driven primarily by the fortnightly spring-neap tidal cycle, but there is also a seasonal variability showing the monsoon period to have a reduced tidal range as compared with the dry season. In this case, the neap tidal range is ~10% less during the monsoon season, and the spring tidal range is as much ~20% less, accounting for a nearly 1 m difference (3.9 m vs. 4.8 m). This reduced range in the monsoon season, however, is not manifested in the elevation of high-tide water levels, which remained largely consistent between seasons. Rather, the difference is caused by higher water levels during low tide (Fig. 3), which has the effect of truncating the tidal range and yielding an overall higher mean water level. These higher low-water levels associated with the monsoon suggest that they are tied to regional freshwater drainage and discharge. In addition, another contributing factor could be the seasonally reversing monsoon wind stresses, but such set-up should enhance high water levels as well, suggesting that they are not the primary cause. Although further research on this topic is needed, these distinctions are important herein for understanding the behavior of the tidal delta plain, as landscape elevations in this region are closely tied to mean high-tide water levels, and not mean sea level (Auerbach et al., 2015). Thus, as first demonstrated by Pethick and Orford (2013), the monthly mean tide-gauge data often used to track seasonal to interannual variations in water level may have relatively little bearing on the tidal inundation period and sedimentation rates that control tidal platform elevation (Rogers et al. 2013).

The arrival of fully fresh water (wet-season) conditions occurs in July, following the peak in Brahmaputra River water discharge, and roughly coincident with peak Ganges River water discharge (Fig. 4). Coupled with our long-term pressure gauge, the OBS sensor recorded relatively constant, but low, mean SSC from the late dry season into the early monsoon period (late March through July), with weak but noticeable spring-neap variability ranging from ~0.01 g/L to 0.20 g/L (Fig. 3). However, moving into peak monsoon season, SSC increases markedly from early August through September, concurrent with the Ganges River sediment discharge peak (Figs. 2, 3). Individual measurements regularly exceeded 0.50 g/L during this time, with maxima >2.5 g/L (Fig. 3). SSC variability around the semi-diurnal tide and spring-neap cycles was greatly enhanced compared with that during the dry season, with SSC values during spring tidal cycles exceeding those observed during neap conditions by a factor of 2-10. By the end of observations in October 2015, SSC began to drop to levels similar to those observed in mid-August (0.01-1.0 g/L; Fig. 3), but on average remained well above those of the dry season. For comparison, the mean annual SSC of the mainstem Ganges-Brahmaputra river is ~1 g/L, and depth-averaged values in
4.2 - Hydrography – Water Discharge

Dry season tidal range on the Shibsa River, as measured at Nalian near the northern transect (Fig. 1B), varied from 2.3 m during the neap minima to 5.6 m during spring maxima (Fig. 3). The tidal period was slightly longer during neap tides than spring tides (12.9 h vs. 12.3 h), and the mixed component of the semi-diurnal tide was more pronounced, with consecutive tidal ranges varying by as much as 0.55 m during neap tides, versus 0.23 m during spring tides (Fig. 3). During the monsoon fieldwork, the tidal range was 2.4 and 4.2 m for neap and spring tides, respectively. As with the dry season, total tidal period during neap tides was slightly longer than spring tides (12.8 h vs. 12.0 h). The mixed semi-diurnal variability was again greater during neap tides as well, which varied by as much as 0.25 m, while spring tide variability was typically <0.10 m (Fig. 3).

In this study, we calculate the tidal prism by integrating water discharge over the individual ebb and flood limbs of the tide, with net discharge calculated as the difference between them. During the dry season, our observations captured both peak flood and ebb discharges, with interpolation being used over the remaining <5-15% of the tidal cycle (Fig. 5). During the wet season, field conditions limited our measurement to only a partial tidal cycle (~8-9 hr survey; Fig. 5). Only during northern transect spring tides were conditions favorable for collecting observations of similar duration to the dry season (~11 hr survey; Fig. 5). Within these limits, however, we have used conservative interpolation methods to generate error-bound estimates of total water discharge, the resulting patterns of which provide robust observations concerning system behavior (see Section 2; Fig. 5).

The average tidal prism magnitudes for the northern and southern transects are 2.1±0.7 x 10⁸ m³ and 3.4±1.4 x 10⁸ m³, respectively. Included in these averages are the absolute values of flood and ebb tidal prisms measured on spring and neap tides during both wet and dry seasons (Table 1). Thus, the tidal prism at the northern transect averages only ~60±10% that of the southern transect regardless of season, even though they are located just 10 km apart. Most of this difference in discharge (c. 80-100%) can be balanced by water storage between the two locations, where the product of tidal range and area between transects is c. 6.7 x 10⁷ m³. Considering differences in seasonal discharge, results show that the neap ebb prism is ~30% greater during the monsoon at both transects, despite having a smaller tidal range compared with the dry season survey. This difference of 4-6 x 10⁷ m³ equates to an excess ebb discharge of 1800-2800 m³/s, which is about 45-70% of the mean monsoon discharge of the upstream Gorai River. We thus take the greater...
wet-season ebb prism to simply reflect the addition of local freshwater discharge from the Goral River (Table 1; Fig. 1).

Strictly speaking, defining a tidal regime as either ebb- or flood- dominant refers to the water velocity rather than discharge (Pethick, 1980; Brown and Davies, 2010). In the present study, however, we are interested in the net movement of water and sediment and thus refer to a particular discharge regime as either ebb or flood “dominated” or “oriented” based on the net tidal prism (i.e., the difference between ebb and flood discharge). With this in mind, our surveys suggest that the system varies between ebb and flood orientation across both tidal phase and season (Table 1). For example, both transects during the dry, spring and wet, neap surveys show the average ebb-tidal prism to be 26±16% larger than the flood limb. In contrast, the other two survey periods (dry, neap and wet, spring) yielded balanced to slightly flood dominated tidal prisms (9±8%). In summary, although our results on water balance are insufficient for a full understanding of the patterns, a key finding is that the ebb and flood tidal prisms rarely balance at this location. These tidal-prism asymmetries appear to be a salient characteristic of the complex, interconnected channel network of the GBMD tidal delta plain. Thus, even our limited observations require a lateral (east-west) exchange of water between the Shibsa and parallel Pusur channels (Fig. 1), which we presume to be driven by locally non-uniform tidal phasing within the channel network. Given these emergent circulation patterns, it is clear that individual channels do not operate as closed systems and exhibit local, non-uniform mass exchange, providing a first indication of how morphologic evolution of this tidal delta plain and its channel network may occur.

Although relative dominance between the ebb and flood tidal prisms persistently covaries (as described above), the mean and instantaneous water discharge (m$^3$/s) is almost always flood-dominant (Fig. 6). This circumstance arises from the significant phase shift that occurs as the tide wave propagates up channel, resulting in a shorter flood period and thus higher peak discharge. From our measurements of instantaneous discharge across seasons and tidal conditions, we calculate mean ebb and flood discharges (m$^3$/s) for each transect (Fig. 6). Mean discharge for the northern transect is ~9100 m$^3$/s on the flood and 8600 m$^3$/s on the ebb, and for the southern transect, mean flood and ebb discharges are ~14,600 and 14,200 m$^3$/s, respectively. From these results, we observe that mean discharge at the northern transect is again ~62±1% that of the southern transect, as also recognized for the tidal prism. Another notable result is that the mean flood discharge (m$^3$/s) is 3-6% greater than on the ebb tide, despite the tidal prism generally being ebb dominant. This disparity is a function of the shallow-water distortion of the M2 tide, which produces an asymmetrical waveform with a steeper rising limb than falling limb, and a corresponding reduction in the duration of the flood tide by ~60-90 minutes.

4.3 – Hydrography – Sediment Transport

Suspended sediment measurements collected during the hydrographic surveys show similar patterns to those of our long-term OBS station. Wet season sediment concentrations were generally 30-50% higher than during the dry season (Fig. 5). Much greater
differences in SSC were observed, however, between neap and spring tidal conditions, with
the latter concentrations being typically ~3 fold greater (0.3-1.5 g/L vs 0.1-0.5 g/L). These
sediment concentrations, coupled with the water discharge observations, were then
extrapolated over the tidal cycle to generate estimates of the rates and magnitude of
sediment transport (Table 1). Results show that integrated sediment transport over a tidal
limb varied by more than an order of magnitude at both transects. Minima of 0.16 × 10⁶ kg
(north) and 0.2 × 10⁶ kg (south) of sediment exchange were observed during the neap, dry-
season ebb tide, with maxima during spring monsoon flood tides being an order of
magnitude greater at 3.3 × 10⁶ kg (north) and 3.9 × 10⁶ kg (south). These values equate to
mean rates of sediment transport ranging from ~700 kg/s during neap, dry season
conditions to ~17,000 kg/s during monsoon-season spring tides. Comparing the ebb and
flood limbs of our surveys, the mean sediment discharge for the ebb tide is 5800 kg/s
compared to 7800 kg/s for the flood tide, demonstrating an overall flood dominance in
sediment transport.

These patterns are further supported by the net sediment transport values (i.e., ebb – flood;
Table 1). For a given tidal cycle, net sediment transport was typically 10⁶-10⁷ kg with
magnitude varying largely with tidal phase, where spring tides generate 1.5 to 3 times
greater net transport than during neap tides (Table 1). Seasonally, net sediment transport
rates were ~30% greater during the wet season, similar to our observations of suspended
sediment concentration. Finally, a comparison of net sediment transport with
the corresponding net water discharge shows the two to covary, as expected, with greater net
water discharge resulting in greater net sediment transport (Fig. 7). However, an important
attribute of this relationship reveals a significant bias toward flood-dominant sediment
transport. Data show that even neutral to weakly ebb dominant water discharge yields net
sediment transport in the flood direction (Fig. 7). As noted for water discharge (m³/s), this
disparity is a function of the non-negligible tidal components beyond M2 that result in a
shortened flood limb and extended ebb period (Fig. 3; Table 1). Together, mean sediment
discharge and net sediment transport patterns thus indicate an overall flood-oriented
asymmetry and net onshore transport of sediment.

5 - Discussion

5.1 – Relative importance of tides and river

The GBM tidal delta plain comprises a complex channel network that has been little studied
and will require substantial investigation to be understood well. Nevertheless, results of
the current study allow for numerous observations on the scaling and magnitude of tidal
mass transport within this region, establishing a baseline for the role that tides play in
defining the delta system, particularly in the southwest region away from direct fluvial
inputs. To begin, we take an average of the flood and ebb tidal prisms measured at the two
sites on the Shibsa River over both spring and neap tidal phases during wet and dry
seasons, and extrapolate the mean tidal prism over one year. In other words, an average of
2.7 × 10⁸ m³ water passes through this region on each of the ~705 tides per year. This basic
estimation accounts for an average of $\sim 2 \times 10^{11}$ m$^3$ of water annually conveyed through our survey locations, 80 km inland of the coast. Furthermore, this mass exchange is principally tidal water, as the 50-75% of annual Gorai River discharge captured by the Shibsa River (i.e., $\sim 0.2 \times 10^{11}$ m$^3$) accounts for only 10% of the total water exchange observed for this channel.

The significance of these observations from the upstream Shibsa River tidal channel become more apparent when compared with the mainstem GBM rivers. In this case, the $\sim 2 \times 10^{11}$ m$^3$ of water conveyed annually through the upper Shibsa River is nearly 20% of the $\sim 11 \times 10^{11}$ m$^3$ of total annual water discharge from the entire GBM watershed (Lupker et al., 2011; Fig. 4). This is an impressive exchange of mass through the upper reaches of a single tidal channel along the GBM tidal delta plain. For context, the Shibsa River comprises approximately half (by planform area) of the Pussur River tidal system (Fig. 1), itself just one of five major tidal drainages along the GBM tidal delta plain (Fig. 1). Taken together, these basins include $\sim 10$ tidal channels having similar area (width × length) to the Shibsa River. We take the tidal flow through these systems to be broadly similar given the linear relationship between peak tidal discharge and the cross-sectional area of large tidal channels (Rinaldo et al., 1999), plus the fact that land-surface elevation and tidal range are similar across the region (Chatterjee et al., 2013). Thus, even at a first-order, estimates of total mass transport across the tidal region would well exceed the $\sim 11 \times 10^{11}$ m$^3$ total volume discharged by the mainstem GBM rivers.

The comparable values between our observations of tidal water exchange in this limited study area and the total freshwater discharge of the GBM rivers demonstrates how tides hold equivalence in controlling landscape development in the GBMD, which was suggested as far back as Galloway (1975). To further consider the geomorphic importance of tides to the GBMD, we make analogous estimations of the sediment transport ($Q_s$) that supports land-surface aggradation and the dominant water discharge ($Q_{dom}$) that controls tidal channel morphology (Rinaldo et al., 1999). As done for water discharge, by taking the average of our tidal hydrography data for sediment transport, we calculate a mean annual exchange of suspended sediment through the Shibsa River tidal station to be $\sim 1 \times 10^{13}$ kg ($\sim 100$ Mt). For comparison, this estimate of sediment load is roughly 15% of the $\sim 700$ Mt of sediment annually discharged to the coast by the GBM rivers (Goodbred and Kuehl, 1999). Thus, if we extrapolate any similar transport value to the other nine GBM tidal channels, then the sediment exchange through the tidal channels is easily found to be comparable to the main river mouth. There is, of course, the important caveat that tidal sediment transport is not unidirectional, and so this integrated exchange of tidal sediment is not a net flux as it is for river sediment discharge. Nevertheless, the relevant point is that local, geomorphic reaches of the tidal delta plain have the opportunity for landscape building through tidal water and sediment exchange at a similar magnitude to the mainstem GBM rivers. This assertion is not surprising given the relative stability of the tidal delta plain, which experiences relatively little net erosion ($\sim 4$ km$^2$/yr, or $\sim 0.02\%$ annual loss; Sarwar and Woodroffe, 2013) and is offset by widespread sediment deposition on both land-surface (Rogers et al., 2013) and in channels (Wilson et al., 2017).
From this study, we understand that tidal energy, independent of the main river mouth, accounts for a twice-daily exchange of a mass equivalent to 4-15% of the yearly averaged daily GMB river discharge. In primary channels, the magnitude of this exchange is controlled more by the spring-neap tidal variability than by the seasonal input of new material (Fig. 5). In the smaller Bhadra tidal channel, on the other hand, SSC variability demonstrates profound seasonality, presumably because discharge (and therefore stream power) is at least an order of magnitude smaller here than in the Shibsa River. This disparity is important when we consider land-building processes, as the majority of the Sundarbans forest is plumbed by tidal channels on the scale of the Bhadra River or smaller. Storms may also play a role in remobilizing sediment from the shelf onto the tidal deltplain, as suggested by Hanebuth et al. (2013) in their study of ancient salt kilns buried along the coast. However, there are no observations of significant direct storm deposition from recent cyclones (Aila, 2009 and Sidr, 2007), such as that recognized from the offshore Bengal shelf and Swatch of No-Ground canyon (e.g., Kudrass et al., 1998; 2018; Michels et al., 1998; Rogers et al., 2015). The potentially limited impact of storms on sedimentation and the channel network of the tidal deltplain may be due to its frequent and persistent exposure to high sediment concentrations and strong currents (>3 m/s) driven by the tides. Nevertheless, future research should aim to quantify storm inputs and their relative importance upon sedimentation and morphodynamics of the tidal deltplain.

These findings and discussion points emphasize the essential role that tides play in maintaining the largest portion of the GMB lower delta plain, which is not under direct river influence. However, despite the essential role of tides in mixing and dispersing sediment to large areas of the delta, the supply of sediment remains largely contemporaneous with seasonal fluvial discharge, especially in the secondary and tertiary channels that irrigate the Sundarbans. Together, the coupled system in which the GMB rivers deliver sediment that is subsequently redistributed by tidal energy is fundamentally responsible for sustainability of this region relative to sea-level change (e.g., Angamuthu et al., 2018). A significant corollary of this fact is that a change in sediment supply from the GMB rivers, such as that proposed under India’s National River Linking Project, could pose a serious threat to delta sustainability (Higgins et al., 2018; Best, 2019).

To summarize, as the central coastal region receives little direct water and sediment discharge from the GMB, the results herein emphasize that tidal exchange is the dominant geomorphic agent in the region with a mass and energy exchange of comparable or greater magnitude to the mainstem rivers. It is, of course, essential to recognize that most freshwater and sediment exchanged within the tidal system is ultimately sourced by the main rivers, and that these are intrinsically coupled systems. Thus, continued sustainability of the region will require the sustained delivery and exchange of water and sediment between the fluvial and tidal portions of the delta.

5.2 – Sedimentation in the Sundarbans and Infilling of Tidal Channels

Our observations of tidal sediment exchange provide a useful baseline for examining sedimentation in the Sundarbans and broader tidal delta plain, which are at risk from sea-level rise and inundation without an adequate supply of sediment. To date, the best
estimate of total sedimentation in the Sundarbans is \(1.1 \times 10^{11}\) kg/year (\(~100\) Mt), based on one season of direct sedimentation measures at 48 stations across the region (Rogers et al., 2013). This mass of sediment deposited in the Sundarbans is basically equivalent to the \(~100\) Mt of sediment that we observe transported through the Shibsa River transects. Thus, recalling that our local measurements likely capture just 5-10% of total suspended sediment transported through the tidal channels of the region, it becomes evident that there is generally adequate suspended sediment available to support regional sedimentation in the Sundarbans.

Another plausible implication is that there appears to be adequate sediment available for the restoration of land elevation within the poldered region, which is a major challenge facing coastal Bangladesh (Amir et al., 2013). Although a definitive answer remains to be determined, this general assertion is supported by observations of the rapid sedimentation that occurred on Polder 32 in the two years following the embankment failures caused by cyclone Aila in 2009 (Auerbach et al., 2015). Measurements at Polder 32 after these failures found an average of 37±17 cm/yr of tidal sedimentation sustained over its two-year exposure to tidal inundation, corresponding to a total annual deposition of \(~5\) Mt. Based on inundation depth and period, this accounts for an average of \(~0.2\) g/L of sediment extracted from the tidal water that flooded the island during this time. This value compares to a mean suspended sediment concentration of \(~0.6\) g/L measured during our hydrographic surveys, suggesting that roughly one-third of the tidal sediment inundating the landscape generated these very rapid sedimentation rates. Ultimately, limitations in the present data preclude a closed, precise sediment budget, but our collective observations over several different studies remain consistent in direction and magnitude. These indicate persistent, relatively rapid, rates of deposition that are sustained by the large-magnitude conveyance of sediment through the tidal channels and ultimately supplied by seasonal discharge of the mainstem rivers (Rogers et al., 2013; Auerbach et al., 2015; this study).

Upstream of our transect sites, the landscape is almost entirely embanked by polder systems. With limited opportunity for sediment deposition on this formerly intertidal platform, and with the resulting reduction or redistribution of the tidal prism upstream, channel sedimentation and infilling has become a major problem. Wilson et al. (2017) demonstrate that by preventing the inundation of the intertidal platform, poldering has reduced the tidal prism of the broader southwest region by as much as \(1.4 \times 10^9\) m³. If we assume that this volume reduction is relatively evenly dispersed across the delta plain, then it would have led to a 25-50% reduction in the local tidal prism measured at our sites. These effects are at least partially responsible for the ~1400 km of channel infilling that has taken place over the last few decades, resulting in the creation of new agriculture and aquaculture opportunities but also altering drainage, transportation routes, and feedback responses of the regional tidal hydrodynamics (Wilson et al., 2017). The mass of sediment that has infilled these channels is calculated to be \(6.15 \times 10^{11}\) kg, which would be \(~1.2 \times 10^{10}\) kg/yr assuming a roughly constant rate (Wilson et al., 2017). Of these infilled channels, \(~15\%\) (~200 km) are part of the former channel network connecting upstream of our northern transect (Fig. 1). Thus, a proportional rate of sedimentation lost to these channels would be \(~0.18 \times 10^{10}\) kg/yr, which is \(~25\%)\ of the estimated \(0.68 \times 10^{10}\) kg
fluxing through the northern transect (to the north) each year. While this sediment exchange is four times greater than the expected total based on infilling rates from Wilson et al. (2017), it relies on the same previously described assumptions (i.e., no lateral exchange with neighboring rivers, non-end-member flux reflecting an average of end-member conditions). More importantly, it appears that there is sufficient sediment available to continue infilling channels, and future studies should constrain whether this region is, in fact, infilling faster than other areas on the tidal delta plain, as this would hold important implications for regional navigation and hydrodynamic changes.

6 – Conclusions

In the present study, we have measured tidal and seasonal variability associated with water discharge and suspended sediment concentration (SSC), and used these observations to compute the magnitude of water and sediment exchange through a single tidal channel. As has been suggested previously, the wet season is found to exert a strong control on the timing and magnitude of sediment transport in this system, despite seemingly modest changes to the hydrodynamics. Indeed, despite a reduced tidal range and similar peak SSC, sediment transport during the monsoon is always of greater magnitude than during the dry season. Understanding this relationship is critical for planning any potential land recovery strategies in the future. The importance of the monsoon also provides a new perspective into the meaning of a “tidal delta.” While it is clearly the tides that perform much of the work to shape the delta — including driving a net flood-oriented direction of sediment flux — it is the seasonal influx of riverine sediment that allows this work to continue. Finally, this research demonstrates that the mass of sediment transported north of our study area is more than sufficient to fill channels and create additional land. Ideally, future land-use management strategies could divert some of this excess sediment into polder interiors through tidal river management (e.g., Seiger et al., 2018; Shampa et al., 2012; van Staveren et al., 2016), and allow this landscape to continue to prosper.
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Table 1: Measurements of sediment flux and tidal prism from the Shibsa River. Shaded rows represent measurements taken during spring tides.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transect</th>
<th>Tidal Range (m)</th>
<th>Tidal Prism ($m^3$)</th>
<th>Sediment Load (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ebb</td>
<td>Flood</td>
<td>Net</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dry Season</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.00E+08</td>
<td>-2.00E+08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.40E+08</td>
<td>-1.50E+08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.50E+08</td>
<td>-4.30E+08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>3.10E+08</td>
<td>-2.30E+08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monsoon</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.64E+08</td>
<td>-1.81E+08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.83E+08</td>
<td>-1.06E+08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.71E+08</td>
<td>-5.12E+08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2.40E+08</td>
<td>-2.85E+08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figures:

A) Location of Bangladesh and the specific region of interest for this study, as well as the approximate outlines of the five major tidal distributary basins of the SW delta in purple. B) Satellite image of P-32 study area, with bathymetry overlain in the regions of the northern and southern transects. Long-term and short-term pressure sensor locations are also identified. C) Characteristic river cross sections for the northern and southern transects. The specific transects used for these cross sections are highlighted in black in (B).
Fig. 2 – Example channel cross sections of velocity and SSC collected near maximum ebb-oriented tides during the wet season at the north (top) and south (bottom) transects. Velocity measurements are spatially integrated to compute water discharge. SSC are averaged, with the product of velocity and SSC used to compute sediment discharge.
Fig. 3 – A) Long-term water level elevation (blue) and suspended sediment concentration (red) recorded at Sutarkhali. Black is the tidally filtered water level to highlight seasonal trends of relatively higher water during the monsoon, despite similar maximum tidal elevation. Note also the arrival of increased SSC associated with monsoon discharge of the GBM, beginning in August. Areas shaded in gray depict the periods of focused field work, highlighted below in panels (B) and (C). Days where transect measurements were recorded are noted with vertical black lines, where solid are from the southern transect, and dashed are from the northern transect. In (B), the horizontal red line represents the maximum SSC observed in the spring-neap tidal cycle following our focused field work, as SSC was not measured at this location previously.
Fig. 4 – A) Ganges and Brahmaputra River water discharge ($Q_w$), and salinity measured at Sutarkhali Station, demonstrating the reduction in P-32 salinity associated with the arrival of freshwater from the GBM rivers. B) Ganges river sediment discharge ($Q_s$) interpolated from Lupker et al. (2011) and SSC measured at Sutarkhali station, demonstrating the increase in local SSC coincident with the peak SSC discharge of the Ganges R.
Fig. 5 – Instantaneous water discharge, water level, and depth and width-averaged SSC for each day of cross-channel transects. Dry season measurements are in the upper half, while monsoon season transects are on the bottom. Spring tides in either season are shaded in gray. The two left columns are southern measurements, and the two right columns are from the northern transect. Black dots correspond to specific measurements, while gray lines represent the estimated error, tile forwards and backwards by 12.4 hours. For discharge, dashed lines in the monsoon represent maxima based on extrapolations from the dry season ratio. While seemingly unreasonable, they are provided here for context.
Fig. 6 – Comparison of mean (diamond), median (black line), 25th and 75th percentile (lower and upper limits of darkly shaded box) and total range (lightly shaded box) for water discharge (A), and sediment discharge (B). A) demonstrates that median and mean discharge along either transect are comparable to those of either the Ganges or Brahmaputra River. B) demonstrates that as with water, mean sediment discharge on both the flood and ebb tides is approximately the same as the weekly averaged Ganges sediment discharge.

Fig. 7 – Net water discharge vs. net sediment discharge for all of the survey days on the Shibsa River. As expected, we observe a positive trend to this relationship. The negative y-intercept of the best-fit curve demonstrates the overall flood-oriented nature of sediment transport in this tidal channel.